## U.S. Department of Labor

DEC 4 1992

Employment Standards Administration Office of Workers' Compensation Programs Division of Federal Employees' Compensation Washington, D.C. 20210

File Number:

William P. Sims Jr. President California American Postal Workers Union AFL-CIO 3120 University Avenue San Diego, California 92104

Dear Mr. Sims:

I am writing in reply to your letter of November 20 in which you posed a series of questions. Below, I have provided the answers.

There are no annotations, codes, or any identifying marks of any kind, type, or description that denote materials such as video tapes or investigative memorandums or other reports or materials that may pertain to the case file. It is true that reports generated by investigative bodies, including the Postal Inspection Service, are considered confidential information if they are is so labeled by the investigative body, and may not be released without the consent of the furnishing agency, primarily because the information is considered the property of the other agency. However, in recent years, the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) has informed Federal agencies of its position that any evidence, including investigative materials, that they want OWCP to use in arriving at a decision on a claim becomes part of the case record and therefore becomes discloseable by OWCP. If any agency still submits materials labeled confidential, Chapter 2-300, section 7-d, of the FECA Procedure Manual applies and the information is kept separated from the case file; however such material is not considered in OWCP's decision.

A free copy of the FECA Procedure Manual index has been provided to your National Office in Washington, D.C. Additional copies may be purchased for \$7.00. Enclosed, you will find the copies of the three Employees' Compensation Appeals Board Decisions you requested.

I trust you find the above responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Director, Federal

Employees' Compensation

Enclosures

## APN

## CALIFORNIA AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION AFL-CIO

3120 University Avenue • San Diego, CA 92104 • Phone (619) 282-6863

2 eu 🚰 🗷 0

William P. Sims

Kenneth G. Floyd

November 20, 1992

## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

Tom Markey
Director FEC
Office of Worker's Compensation Programs
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Dear Mr. Markey,

. . .

I am requesting answers to the below listed questions. Presently, I have a case at Hearing and Review. I need the answers in order to determine appropriate action on the case.

- 1. Are there annotation(s), code(s) or any identifying marks entered into the computerized Federal Employee Compensation System (FECS) that denote materials such as video tapes or investigative memorandums or other reports or materials that may pertain to the case file but not be maintained in the hard copy case file?
- 2. Are such annotations, codes or other identifying marks also placed in or on the hard copy case file, jacket or CA-800?
- 3. If there are such annotations, codes or other identifying marks placed in the FECS or in or on the hard copy case file, are they uniform office wide or do they change from district office to district office?
- 4. Under section 2-300, 7-d of the FECA Procedure Manual, does the office consider reports generated by the U.S. Postal Inspection Service to be "confidential information as described by the Privacy Act?" This includes all reports known as investigative memorandums or by any other name.
- 5. If the answer to question 4 is no, would such Postal Inspection Reports fall under FECA procedure manual, paragraph 2-300, 7-c?

November 20, 1992 Tom Markey Page 2

Under the Freedom of Information Act I request a copy of the FECA Procedure Manual index. Also, please provide a copy of the below listed ECAB decisions:

Edward T. Lowery 8 ECAB 745

Virgil Hilton DKT 85-147 8-4-86 Virgil Hilton DKT 85-1971 8-26-86

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

William P. Sims,

President

WPS/dd

cc: file