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|  | FY 2006 Function 4 Performance Management |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | COURSE NUMBER 41201-45 |
|  | COURSE NAME: |
|  | WOS LDC 45 Improvement Initiative |
|  | COURSE DESCRIPTION: |
|  | How to utilize the RDM/WOS staffing tool to create efficient, workload based staffing in Retail Operations |
|  | TARGET AUDIENCE: |
|  | -Area \& District Operations Analysts |
|  | -Manager, Post Office Operations |
|  | - Postmasters |
|  |  |
|  | COURSE LENGTH: |
|  | Two Hours |
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## CURRENT PERFORMANCE

\%inis LDC 45 OPPORTUNITY - RDM-WOS TOOLS $\qquad$
Two major tools:

## RDM-WOS VARIANCE REPORTING

- Identifies POS Earned Hours vs Hours PAID in LDC 45
- Includes the Impact of Improper Workhour Transfers, Employee Miscoding
- Does not credit Non-POS LDC 45 Workload (Mobile Vans, IRTs, etc)
- Only Available at the Finance Number Level

RDM-WOS SCHEDULING and STAFFING TOOL

- Identifies Pure Impact of Scheduling/Staffing Opportunity on the Window
- Excludes the Impact of Workhour Transfers and Employee Miscoding
- Excludes the Impact of Non-POS LDC 45 Workload (Mobile Vans, IRTs, etc)
- Available and Actionable at the Unit Level
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|  | RDM WOS FIELD VALIDATION |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Pilot Site Study |
|  | Selection Criteria |
|  | Proximity to HQ... |
|  | Typical Station - 30-40 delivery routes |
|  | Reasonably efficient overall |
|  | Solid retail growth - \$5 million annually in Retail Revenue |
|  | APC installed |
|  | LOW RETAIL EFFICIENCY - High WTIL Achievement |
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|  | RDM WOS FIELD VALIDATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pilot Study Week-Maximization of SSA Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available LDC 48 Time Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Eamed | Scheatur | Gap | ScheduledHours | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Eared } \\ \text { Hous }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | Modeled Hous dem | Lectalable |
|  | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{3}$ | $\frac{1.4}{1.1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.95}$ | 1.5 <br> 1.5 | ${ }_{0}^{0.75}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.75}$ | \% |
|  | $\frac{3}{4}$ |  | $\frac{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.5}$ | 1.5 2 2 | ${ }_{0}^{0.85}$ | 1 | \% |
|  | 5 | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 1 | 2.5 | 1.48 | 1.5 | - |
|  | ${ }^{\frac{6}{5}}$ | ${ }^{\frac{32}{4.4}}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{0}^{\frac{1.4}{0.3}}$ | 3, <br> 2 | ${ }_{22}^{1.6}$ | ${ }_{22}^{1.6}$ | ! |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3,1}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | $\stackrel{0.5}{2}$ | ${ }^{2.5}$ | 1.5 1.5 1 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{2.6}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{.}{0.5}$ | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2 | ${ }_{42}$ |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ | $\frac{28}{2.8}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | - 1 |  | ${ }_{1.45}^{1.4}$ |  | ${ }_{33}^{36}$ |
|  | 5 | $\frac{4.2}{38}$ | 4 | 0.4 | ${ }_{2}^{2.5}$ | ${ }_{20}^{21}$ | ${ }_{2}^{21}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 5 | $\frac{3,8}{4}$ | 4 | ${ }_{0}^{0.5}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.5}$ | 1.9 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 5 | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | -0.5 | 2.5 | 2 12 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{48}$ |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | ${ }^{0} 0$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 13 <br> 2.55 <br> 1 | 2 | ${ }^{42}$ |
|  | 6 | + | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O.45 }}{0.04}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3.5 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | - $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \\ & 2.95\end{aligned}$ | : |
|  | 5 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{2,5}^{2.5}$ | 1.2 | $\underset{2}{295}$ | ${ }_{48}$ |
|  | 4 | ${ }^{2.8}$ | 4 | 0 |  |  | 2 | ${ }^{36}$ |
|  |  | Total Gap |  | 12.55 | ${ }^{51}$ | ${ }^{31.8}$ | ${ }^{38.15}$ | 4.75 |
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ROS Efficiency YTD 52\% - Week 51 85\%
$\square$ RDM WOS FIELD VALIDATION
$\square$ LDC 45 25.8\% over Plan YTD - Week 51 (17.3\%) to Plan
$\square$ Total Func 4 2.7\% over Plan YTD - Wk 51 (14.6\%) to Plan
$\square$ SIGNIFICANT LDC 48 work completed by SSA's
$\square$ Three official Mystery Shops - All 100\% Op Efficiency
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| $\sum_{\substack{\text { min } \\ \text { hanimina }}}^{\sum}$ | RDM WOS FIELD VALIDATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Post Implementation Week - Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDM wos Variance->Post Office <br> Selection Summary <br> District In List (RICHMOND) and Pay Period In List (Oct 29, 2005:Nov 11, 2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postomee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHESAPEAKE PO | 525.0 | 457.9 | 67.1 | 87.2\% | 1,569.0 | 1,3427 | ${ }^{226.3}$ | 85.6\% |
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$\qquad$ Mobile Vans...IRT Terminals or Postmaster vs SSAs Working the Window.
-The New wos Earned - Actual Graphing Tool Simply Measures Actual Employee
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| $\qquad$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impact of Pure Scheduling and Staffing |  |  |
| Weekly Scheduling Impact - 167.1 |  | Distribution of WOS Opportunity |
| WOS Efficiency Based Solely on |  |  |
| WOS Efficiency Utilizing Modeled |  |  |
| Window Staffing- | 92.9\% | Siency impact or |
| Other Impacts (Improper Transfers, etc...) |  |  |
| Actual WOS Efficiency for the Week - |  |  |
| Weekly Other Impact - | 51.4 |  |
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Mystery Shop - November 5, 2005 9:20am 0:00 Wait Time in Line
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| FY 2006 FUNCTION 4 BUSINESS PLAN |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Area Marketing Managers |  |
| Managers Operations Support (MOS) | November 2nd |
| Major Metro Managers | November 8th |
| PCES Postmasters | November 9th |
| Managers Delivery Programs Support | November 30th |
| To be Scheduled. .. |  |
| Area Net Meetings <br> -Northeast Area Completed 11122 | TBD * |
| -Pacific Area Completed 1128 |  |
| -Cap Metro Scheduled 1222 |  |
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Northeast Area Completed 11/22
Cap Metro Scheduled 12/2



[^0]:    RDM WOS METHODOLOGY PROCESS INTEGRITY and REASONABLENESS

    All WOS time factors are the result of a statistically valid, nation-wide sampling process

    The WOS time factors are based on the POS ONE Workload Factor Study - Retail Operations conducted during FY 2000. A subsequent national study was also conducted from October 03 thru March 04 to update the factors associated with major transactions. The study was conducted in all areas, 62 districts, at least four units in each district

    The time factors begin with the customer arrival at the counter and include customer interaction, SSA value-added upsell suggestions, providing product, providing change and receipt to customer and post customer interaction and time to dispose of mailpiece.

