LABOR RELATIONS



December 18, 1997

MANAGERS, HUMAN RESOURCES (AREA) LABOR RELATIONS SPECIALISTS (AREA)

SUBJECT: July 15, 1997 APWU/USPS Alternative Dispute Resolution Agreement Relating to the National Labor Relations Board Unfair Labor Practice Changes

Recently, several questions have arisen concerning implementation of the new NLRB Dispute Resolution Process MOU and our earlier memo dated July 30. For ease of reference, attached are copies of the MOU and the earlier memo, along with a letter from Joseph J. Mahon Jr., Vice President of Labor Relations, concerning information requests.

ंग

To avoid any potential confusion, please be aware of the following points:

- Distribution of the earlier memo was to include all supervisors who may be receiving information requests from the APWU. Please ensure that this distribution is accomplished.

- The attached Joseph J. Mahon Jr. letter has not been rescinded by the MOU that created this process.

- Information requests for employee time records, employee leave records, employee prior discipline records, employee staffing records and employee work schedule records are generally regarded as relevant with respect to the APWU's determination whether or not to file a grievance concerning those matters. For these routine requests, no specific basis for relevancy is required on the APWU's request form. Requests for other types of information require the union to show the basis of the information's relevancy.

- Requests for non-bargaining unit employee records and medical records must be reviewed with care to ensure that individual privacy rights are not violated. The law has developed special rules for union requests for information relating to nonbargaining unit members and employee medical information. Information regarding nonbargaining unit members should be provided if it is reasonably probable that the information is relevant to an issue between the parties and would be of use to the union in carrying out its statutory duties and responsibilities. With respect to medical records, copies should be provided;

475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington DC 20260-4100 however, where there is legitimate and substantial employee confidentiality interest that would be compromised by disclosure of the records, there is an obligation to bargain with the union in order to seek an accommodation concerning the information requested.

- Local agreements that were in effect prior to the execution of this MOU which provided for a quicker response time shall continue to be honored

- If local management does not comply with the APWU's information request, management will forward such denial to the next higher level for review as contemplated in the MOU.

Hopefully, this clarification has been helpful.

Pete Bazylewicz

Manager Grievance and Arbitration

Attachments

> 6475, Swish Pales, Swish 475, Swish Pales, SW 446-475, DC \$225,-1100

December 2, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR AREA MANAGERS, CUSTOMER SERVICES AREA MANAGERS, PROCESSING AND DISTRIBUTION DISTRICT MANAGERS, CUSTOMER SERVICES PLANT MANAGERS, PROCESSING AND DISTRIBUTION ...MANAGERS, MIMAN RESOURCES (ALL AREAS)

SUBJECT: Local Union Information Requests

The National Labor Relations Board has informed me that some information requests made by union officials are being denied by local management representatives on the technical ground that the local union official has no authority to make an information request. It is not the Postal Service's intention to deny an information request on this technical ground and I would appreciate that this fact be communicated to all individuals responsible for responding to local union information requests.

In addition, I would like to take this opportunity to reaffirm the general principle that the unions are entitled to all relevant and necessary information to perform their obligations as the representative of bargaining unit employees. Therefore, if the requested information has some bearing on an issue between the parties, it should be disclosed to the unions. If an information request is unclear, management should attempt to clarify the request, rather than denying the request on a technicality.

Finally, information requests should be timely answered and delays should be avoided. The fact that the information may not reside in the local unit is not sufficient to deny an Jinformation request, if management is aware that the Minformation is accessible by alternative means.

If an information request is to be denied or a response cannot be timely answered, please have the individual handling the request advise the local union official explaining the basis for the delay or denial.

Addachment 3

207-268-3618 Fili 202-265-3074

÷.,

Also artached is a copy of a Board sutice which has been a prosted in strongenerghis decations as a result of 30 informal and institute Board.

.Flense share this version with all personal preposible

Joseph J. Mahon, Jr.

t

1

Attachments

cc: Mr. Jacobson Mr. Green