Article 12 - EXCESSING - Questions & Answers

Index

- 12.5.C.1 DISCONTINUANCE OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION
- 12.5.C.2 CONSOLIDATION OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION
- 12.5.C.3 TRANSFER OF A CLASSIFIED STATION OR CLASSIFIED BRANCH TO THE JURISDICTION OF ANOTHER INSTALLATION OR MADE AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION
- 12.5.C.4 REASSIGNMENT WITHIN AN INSTALLATION OF EMPLOYEES EXCESS TO THE NEEDS OF A SECTION
- 12.5.C.5.a REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN AN INSTALLATION OTHER THAN BY ATTRITION?
- 12.5.C.5.b REASSIGNMENTS TO OTHER INSTALLATIONS AFTER MAKING REASSIGNMENTS WITHIN THE INSTALLATION
- 12.5.C.6 CENTRALIZED MAIL PROCESSING AND/OR DELIVERY INSTALLATION (CLERK CRAFT ONLY)
- 12.5.C.8 REASSIGNMENTS PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES IN EXCESS OF QUOTA (OTHER THAN MOTOR VEHICLE)

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING- Re: Excessing

ARTICLE 12, SECTION 5.C SPECIAL PROVISION ON REASSIGNMENTS

12.5.C.1 - DISCONTINUANCE OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

1. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.1.a: When an installation is discontinued, all full and part-time flexible employees, to the maximum extent possible, are reassigned into a continuing installation.

2. HOW?

12.5.C.1.b: Employees are involuntarily reassigned with their seniority for duty assignments to vacancies in the same or lower level in the same craft or occupational group.

3. WHERE?

12.5.C.1.b: All full and part-time flexible employees will be reassigned to postal installations designated by the postal service within 100 miles of the discontinued installation, or to more distant installations, if after consultation with the union, it is determined that it is necessary.

4. ARE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES GUARANTEED ANOTHER FULL-TIME POSITION?

12.5.C.1.f: NO. .. As outlined under the provisions of C.1.f. full-time employees for whom no full-time vacancies are available shall be changed to part-time flexible status in the same craft and placed as such.

5. DO FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES SO CHANGED TO PART-TIME STATUS RETAIN PLACEMENT RIGHTS TO FULL-TIME VACANCIES OCCURRING AT A LATER DATE?

12.5.C.1.f: The employees who are arbitrarily changed to part-time flexible status are guaranteed, for a period of six months, placement rights to full-time vacancies developing within that time, within any installation within 100 miles of the discontinued installation.

6. DO EMPLOYEES SO REASSIGNED HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?

12.5.C.1.g: In the event a discontinued installation is re-established, the employees are entitled to exercise retreat rights and are eligible for reassignment back to their re-established installation with full seniority within the craft from which reassigned.

7. IF TWO OR MORE VACANCIES ARE AVAILABLE FOR REASSIGNMENT, WHO GETS FIRST CHOICE?.

12.5.C.1.g: Available vacancles will be selected on the basis of seniority.

8. DO EMPLOYEES FROM A DISCONTINUED INSTALLATION RETAIN THEIR SENIORITY?

12.5.C.1.c: Full-time employees remaining in the same craft are reassigned with their full seniority. If, however, they are placed in another craft, they take the lesser of the following: (1.) one day junior to the junior full-time employee in the same craft and level in the office to which reassigned, or (2.) the seniority they had in the craft from which assigned.

12.5.C.1.d & e: Part-time flexible employees, if reassigned in the same craft, will be reassigned with full seniority. If placed in another craft, they are placed at the foot of the existing part-time flexible roster in the gaining installation.

Back to Top

12.5.C.2 - CONSOLIDATION OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

1. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.2.a: When an independent postal installation is consolidated with another postal installation, each full-time or part-time flexible employee shall be reassigned.

2. HOW REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.2.a: Employees will be reassigned on an involuntary basis.

3. TO WHERE?

12.5.C.2.a: Reassignment will be to a continuing installation, and with full seniority for both full and part-time flexible employees.

4. DO EMPLOYEES HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS IF THE CONSOLIDATED INSTALLATION IS RE-ESTABLISHED?

12.5.C.2.c: Under the provisions of 2.c, if the consolidated installation again becomes an independent installation, each full and part-time flexible employee is entitled to return to the established installation in the craft and level or occupational group held at the time the installation was discontinued.

5. WHAT OCCURS IF CONSOLIDATION RESULTS IN AN EXCESS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION?

12.5.C.2.b: in the event an excess of employees results in the gaining installation due to the consolidation of an independent installation, the provisions of Article 12 would govern in reducing the number of employees through other than attrition.

Back to Top

12.5.C.3 - TRANSFER OF A CLASSIFIED STATION OR CLASSIFIED BRANCH TO THE JURISDICTION OF ANOTHER INSTALLATION OR MADE AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

1. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.3.a: All full-time employees at a classified station or branch who wish to remain.

2. IS IT MANDATORY?

12.5.C.3.a: NO...the employees have an option to remain with the classified station/ branch, without loss of seniority, or they may remain with the installation from which the classified station/branch is being transferred.

3. IF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES IN THE AFFECTED UNIT DO NOT WISH TO STAY IN THE UNIT, WHO HAS FIRST CHOICE FOR THE VACANT POSITIONS?

12.5.C.3.b: Vacancies created by the unwillingness of employees to follow the station /branch to the new jurisdiction are posted for bid, on an office-wide basis, in the losing installation.

4. IF VACANCIES STILL REMAIN AFTER THE POSITIONS ARE POSTED IN THE LOSING INSTALLATION, WHAT ACTION IS THEN TAKEN?

12.5.C.3.c: Management exercises the provisions of Article 12, and involuntarily reassign junior employees to fill the vacancies. The junior employees, involuntarily excessed, retain their retreat rights to the first vacancy in their level and craft in the installation from which they were involuntarily transferred.

Back to Top

12.5.C.4 - REASSIGNMENT WITHIN AN INSTALLATION OF EMPLOYEES EXCESS TO THE NEEDS OF A SECTION

1. WHO IDENTIFIES ASSIGNMENTS COMPRISING A SECTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES BY OTHER THAN ATTRITION?

12.5.C.4.a: The identification of assignments comprising a section is determined locally, through negotiations. If a local union and management fail to negotiate sections, the entire installation is considered a section for reassignment purposes.

2. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.4.b: The agreement requires management to reassign the junior full-time employee in the same craft and level.

3. TO WHERE IS HE/SHE REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.4.b: the excessed employee is reassigned outside the section, within the installation, in the same craft and level. Seniority is retained and the employee may bid on any existing vacancy for which they are eligible. Failing to bid, they may be assigned to any vacant duty assignment for which there was no senior bidder, or they may remain as an unencumbered regular until such time as they are assigned a no bid position, as outlined in Article 37.4.C.

4. DOES THE EXCESSED EMPLOYEE HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?

12.5.C.4.c: the employee does have retreat rights to the first residual vacancy in their level, after employees in the section have completed bidding. However, they lose their retreat rights upon their failure to bid for the first residual vacancy in their salary level in the former section.

5. MUST THE EMPLOYEE EXERCISE HIS/HER RETREAT RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL JOB IN HIS/HER FORMER SECTION?

12.5.C.4.c: The right of retreat to the section is optional with the employee who has retreat rights with respect to a vacancy in a lower salary level. The employee's failure to exercise the option to return to a lower level position within the section, however, does not terminate the retreat rights in the salary level from which the employee was reassigned.

6. IS THERE ANY RESTRICTION ON CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEES EXERCISING THEIR RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL POSITION IN A SECTION FROM WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN EXCESSED?

12.5.C.4.c YES....New language was added in the 1984-87 agreement restricting the option of clerk craft employees from exercising retreat rights to a vacancy in a lower salary level, to only those assignments for which the employee would otherwise have been eligible to bid.

7. WHO FILLS THE DUTY ASSIGNMENT VACATED BY THE EXCESSED JUNIOR EMPLOYEE?

12.5.C.4.d: The vacated duty assignment must be posted for bid within the section and awarded to the senior bidder who had seniority to remain in the section. If there are no bids, the junior remaining unencumbered full-time employee in the section is assigned to the vacancy.

Back to Top

12.5.C.5.a - REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN AN INSTALLATION OTHER THAN BY ATTRITION?

1. WHAT ACTION MUST MANAGEMENT TAKE BEFORE EXCESSING FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES FROM AN INSTALLATION?

MANAGEMENT IS REQUIRED TO:

- 12.5.C.5.a.1 Determine by craft and occupational group, the number of excess employees.
- 12.5.C.5.a.2 Separate all casuals to the extent possible, thus minimizing the impact on the career regular workforce.
- 12.5.C.5.a.3 Reduce part-time flexible hours, to the extent possible, again, to minimize the impact on full-time positions.

2. WHO IS DECLARED EXCESS?

12.5.C.5.a.4 The junior full-time employee in the salary level, craft, and occupational group affected, on an installation wide basis.

3. WHERE ARE THEY REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.5.a.4 To the extent possible, the excessed full-time employees are reassigned within the installation to other crafts at the same or lower level.

4. HOW IS THIS ACCOMPLISHED?

12,5.C.5.a.4 The employees are involuntarily reassigned.

5. DO THEY RETAIN THEIR CRAFT SENIORITY?

- 12.5.C.5.a.4 NO...They are reassigned in the same or lower level, with seniority whichever is lesser of:
- 12.5.C.5.a.4.a One day junior to the seniority of the junior full-time employee in the same level and craft or occupational group in the installation to which assigned, or !! 12.5.C.5.a.4.b The seniority the employee had in the craft from which reassigned.

6. DO THE REASSIGNED EMPLOYEES RETAIN RETREAT RIGHTS?

12.5.C.5.a.5 Under the provisions of Part 5, the employee has no retreat rights as an option. The agreement requires that she/he be returned to the craft from which reassigned at the first opportunity.

7. CAN A SENIOR EMPLOYEE ELECT TO TAKE THE PLACE OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE SCHEDULED TO BE EXCESSED INTO ANOTHER CRAFT WITHIN THE SAME INSTALLATION?

12.5.C.5.a.7 The right of election of a senior employee to take the place of a junior employee is not available for this cross-craft assignment within the installation.

Back to Top

12.5.C.5.b - REASSIGNMENTS TO OTHER INSTALLATIONS AFTER MAKING REASSIGNMENTS WITHIN THE INSTALLATION

1. IF THE EXCESSED JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE CANNOT BE ASSIGNED TO ANOTHER CRAFT IN HIS/HER OWN INSTALLATION, WHAT OCCURS?

12.5.C.5.b.1 The junior full-time excess employee is assigned to a position in the same or lower level, in the same craft, to an installation within 100 miles of the losing installation, if possible.

The junior full-time employee not placed within the clerk craft, in accordance with above provisions will be assigned to other crafts in the same or lower level, in an installation within a 100 mile radius.

2. HOW WILL THIS BE ACCOMPLISHED?

12.5.C.5.b.2: Employees for whom consultation did not provide for placement under b.1 above in other crafts or occupational groups in which they meet minimum qualification at the same or lower level will be involuntarily reassigned.

3. WHAT EFFECT WILL THIS HAVE ON THEIR SENIORITY STANDING?

- 12.5.C.5.b.2 They will have permanent seniority for duty assignment, whichever is lesser of:
- 12.5.C.5.b.2.a One day junior to the senior of the junior full-time employee in the same level and craft or occupational group in the installation to which reassigned, or
- 12.5.C.5.b.2.b The seniority she/he had in the craft from which reassigned.

4. MAY A SENIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME CRAFT ELECT TO TAKE THE PLACE OF JUNIOR EXCESS EMPLOYEE?

12.5.C.5.b.3 Any senior employee in the same craft or occupational group in the same installation may elect to be excessed in lieu of a junior employee.

HOWEVER, THE SENIOR EMPLOYEE ELECTING TO BE EXCESSED IN LIEU OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE FORFEITS HIS/HER RETREAT RIGHTS. 5. WHO GETS PREFERENCE IN THE EVENT THAT TWO OR MORE VACANCIES ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY AVAILABLE?

12.5.C.5.b.4 The senior employee subject to reassignment gets the preference.

6. IN ORDER TO AVOID EXCESSING, MAY A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE ELECT TO CHANGE TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE STATUS AND REMAIN IN HIS/HER OWN INSTALLATION?

12.5.C.5.b.5 YES...under the provisions of the agreement, the full-time employee has the option to change to part-time flexible status in the same craft, in lieu of an involuntary reassignment.

7. DOES A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE EXCESSED TO ANOTHER INSTALLATION HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?

12.5.C.5.b.6 Under the provisions of Part 6, employees involuntarily reassigned retain retreat rights, provided they have filed a written request to be returned to the first vacancy in the craft and level in the installation from which reassigned. The request is honored so long as the employee does not withdraw it, or decline to accept an opportunity to return.

8. MAY A CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEE WHO HAS BEEN INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED, RETREAT TO

HIS/HER FORMER INSTALLATION IN A LOWER LEVEL POSITION?

12.5.C.5.b.6 A clerk craft employee may, provided they have filed a written request to return to the first vacancy in the same or lower level, exercise retreat rights to a lower level assignment. The written request, however, must stipulate whether the employee desires to retreat to a lower level assignment, and if so, to what salary level assignments for which the employee would have been eligible to bid. If vacancies are available in the specified lower salary level and in the salary level of the employee when reassigned, the employee will be given the option. Failure to exercise retreat rights to the first available vacancy terminates such rights.

9, WHAT EFFECT WILL ELECTING RETREAT RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL ASSIGNMENT HAVE ON SALARY PROTECTION?

12.5.C.5.b.6 An employee electing to retreat to a lower level assignment will not be entitled to salary protection.

Back to Top

12.5.C.6 - CENTRALIZED MAIL PROCESSING AND/OR DELIVERY INSTALLATION (CLERK CRAFT ONLY)

1, WHEN IS 12.6 APPLICABLE?

12.5.C.6.a It becomes applicable when management consolidates mail processing operations into a centralized installation, which normally results in the excessing of a number of employees from several smaller installation.

2. WHY IS THIS PROVISION DIFFERENT FROM THE PROVISIONS GOVERNING GENERAL INVOLUNTARY REASSIGNMENTS UNDER SECTION C.5.b?

12.5.C.6.a Although the basic reassignments are made under the provisions of 12.C.5.b,.59 this section differs, in that it requires the reassigned clerks to be placed in a detail status for the first 180 days, in an effort to avoid inequities in the selection for preferred assignments.

3. WHEN DOES THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD COMMENCE?

12.5.C.6.c The 180 days is computed from the date of the first detail of an employee into the centralized mail processing installation.

4. CAN DETAILED EMPLOYEES BID FOR THE PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS DURING THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?

12.5,C,6.b NO...only full-time employees who had previously been in the installation are eligible to bid on the previously established duty assignments.

5. WHAT PROVISIONS ARE MADE FOR PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS THAT BECOME VACANT BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?

12.5.C.6.b The previously established duty assignments that maybecome vacant during the 180 day detail period are posted for bid, but only the permanently assigned employees in the gaining installation are eligible to bid.

6, WHEN CAN DETAILED EMPLOYEES BID FOR PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION?

12.5.C.6.c As indicated above, the reassigned employees may bid at the end of the 180 day detail period.

7, WHAT POSITIONS IN THE CLERK CRAFT ARE POSTED AT THE END OF THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?

12.5.C.6.c All vacant clerk craft positions are posted, and all eligible full-time employees in the craft in the gaining installation are eligible to bid.

8. IS THE PROCEDURE DIFFERENT WHEN A NEW CENTRALIZED UNIT IS ESTABLISHED?

12.5.C.6.d.1 & 2 YES...Full-time clerks are given the opportunity to request reassignment from the losing installation. The reassignments will be made on the basis of seniority, but will be limited to the number of excessed full-time clerks in the losing installation.

9. WHAT IF THERE STILL ARE EXCESS EMPLOYEES IN THE CRAFT AT THE LOSING INSTALLATION AFTER SENIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED?.

12.5.C.6.d.3 Management will involuntarily reassign the junior full-time employees, as provided in Article 12.5.C.b.

10. DO THEY RETAIN SENIORITY?

12.5.C.6.d.1 YES....Clerks taking the opportunity are reassigned with their full seniority.

Back to Top

12.5.C.8 - REASSIGNMENTS - PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES IN EXCESS OF QUOTA (OTHER THAN MOTOR VEHICLE)

1. WHO IS AFFECTED?

12.5.C.8 PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES.

2. WHAT PROCEDURES WILL MANAGEMENT USE IN EXCESSING PTF CLERKS?

12.5.C.8 The part-time flexibles lowest on the part-time flexible roll equal in number to such excessed will be given an option to be reassigned to the foot of the ptf roll in the same or another craft in another installation. Although the excessing is involuntary, the selection of craft and installation is voluntary.

3. MAY A PART-TIME FLEXIBLE WHO IS INVOLUNTARILY EXCESSED RETAIN SENIORITY?

12.5.C.8.a NO...An excessed part-time flexible employee reassigned to another craft in the same or another installation shall be assigned to the foot of the part-time flexible roll and begin a new period of seniority.

4. DO PART-TIME FLEXIBLES EVER REGAIN THEIR SENIORITY?

12.5.C.8.b YES...A part-time flexible who is reassigned into another installation in the clerk craft regains their seniority upon becoming a full-time regular employee. The employee's seniority for preferred assignments will then include the seniority the employee had in the losing installation, augmented by the part-time flexible service in the gaining installation.

HOWEVER, IF THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEE IS REASSIGNED INTO ANOTHER CRAFT, HE/SHE DOES NOT REGAIN SENIORITY AT THE TIME HE/SHE MAKES REGULAR.

5. MAY A SENIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE ACCEPT REASSIGNMENT IN LIEU OF JUNIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE?

12.5.C.8.c YES...A senior part-time flexible may volunteer to be excessed in lieu of a junior part-time flexible. The part-time flexible, however, will assume the seniority status of the senior part-time flexible being reassigned.

6. WHO DESIGNATES OTHER INSTALLATIONS AVAILABLE FOR SUCH VOLUNTARY REASSIGNMENTS?

12.5.C.8.d The postal service, after consultation with the union, will offer reassignment opportunities in the following order:

- 1. OTHER CRAFT, SAME INSTALLATION.
- 2. SAME CRAFT, OTHER INSTALLATION,
- 3. OTHER CRAFTS, OTHER INSTALLATION,

7. DO PART-TIME FLEXIBLES RETAIN RETREAT RIGHTS?

12.5.C.8.f & g Retreat rights are granted to part-time flexible employees electing reassignment to another installation, except for a part-time flexible volunteering to be excessed in lieu of a junior part-time flexible. The part-time flexible will have retreat rights, provided he/she makes a written request at the time of reassignment from the losing installation, and it is valid until he/she voluntarily withdraws the request or declines to be returned at the first opportunity.

8. WHAT ABOUT PART-TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES?

12.5.D Part-time regular employees assigned in the craft units shall be considered to be in a separate category. All provisions of this section apply to part-time regular employees within their own category.

Back to Top

Source: art12ner.pdf [123Kb] — click on the link to download the entire Article 12 file

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AND THE AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO

Re: Excessing

In recognition of the parties' efforts to respond to the need to reposition the workforce by jointly seeking an approach that is consistent with the principles of minimizing employee dislocation, consistent with the needs of the service, it is agreed that the Postal Service will petition the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) for the purpose of implementing Section 8336(d)(2) (voluntary early retirement -CSRS) and Section 8414 (b)(I)(B) (voluntary early retirement - FERS) of Title 5, United States Code for all eligible APWU represented employees, subject to the limitations imposed by OPM. The Postal Service will petition OPM no later than February 1, 2003 and the union will be provided a copy of the petition request.

The Postal Service will place a temporary moratorium on excessing and the reassignment of employees through May 15, 2003. The plan to consolidate installations will be provided to the union in December 2002. This moratorium will not apply to current or future excessings and reassignments, where the APWU and the affected employees receive notice in accordance with Article 12 of the 2000 National Agreement, provided that the impacted employees will be reassigned within the local commuting area of their installation (a 50 mile radius). If a need still exists to reassign employees after termination of the moratorium, employees given notice of reassignment pursuant to Article 12 prior to the date of this agreement will be reassigned.

In light of the need to prepare for the possibility of employee reassignment, the parties agree that the Postal Service will immediately begin withholding all residual vacancies. The need for withholding these residual vacancies is provided by the consolidation plan and recognized pursuant to this memorandum. Any disputes arising out of this memorandum will be handled in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding Re: Administrative Disputes Resolution Procedures. This memorandum expires for all purposes on November 20,2005.

ARTICLE 12, SECTION 5.C SPECIAL PROYISION ON REASSIGNMENTS

12.5.C.1 - DISCONTINUANCE OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

1. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

• 12.5.C.1.a - WHEN AN INSTALLATION IS DISCONTINUED, ALL FULL AND PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES, TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT POSSIBLE, ARE REASSIGNED INTO A CONTINUING INSTALLATION.

2. HOW?

• 12,5.C.1.b - EMPLOYEES ARE INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED WITH THEIR SENIORITY FOR DUTY ASSIGNMENTS TO VACANCIES IN THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL IN THE SAME CRAFT OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUP.

3. WHERE?

- 12.5, C.1.b ALL FULL AND PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES WILL BE REASSIGNED TO POSTAL INSTALLATIONS DESIGNATED BY THE POSTAL SERVICE WITHIN 100 MILES OF THE DISCONTINUED INSTALLATION, OR TO MORE DISTANT INSTALLATIONS, IF AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE UNION, IT IS DETERMINED THAT IT IS NECESSARY.
- 4. ARE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES GUARANTEED ANOTHER FULL-TIME POSITION?
- 12.5.C.1.f NO. .. AS OUTLINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF C.1.f. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES FOR WHOM NO FULL-TIME VACANCIES ARE AVAILABLE SHALL BE CHANGED TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE STATUS IN THE SAME CRAFT AND PLACED AS SUCH.

- 5. DO FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES SO CHANGED TO PART-TIME STATUS
 RETAIN PLACEMENT RIGHTS TO FULL-TIME VACANCIES OCCURRING
 AT A LATER DATE?
- 12.5.C.1.f THE EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ARBITRARILY CHANGED TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE STATUS ARE GUARANTEED, FOR A PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS, PLACEMENT RIGHTS TO FULL-TIME VACANCIES DEVELOPING WITHIN THAT TIME, WITHIN ANY INSTALLATION WITHIN 100 MILES OF THE DISCONTINUED INSTALLATION.
- 6. DO EMPLOYEES SO REASSIGNED HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?
- 12.5.C.1.g IN THE EVENT A DISCONTINUED INSTALLATION IS RE-ESTABLISHED, THE EMPLOYEES ARE ENTITLED TO EXERCISE RETREAT RIGHTS AND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR REASSIGNMENT BACK TO THEIR RE-ESTABLISHED INSTALLATION WITH FULL SENIORITY WITHIN THE CRAFT FROM WHICH REASSIGNED.
- 7. IF TWO OR MORE VACANCIES ARE AVAILABLE FOR REASSIGNMENT, WHO GETS FIRST CHOICE?
- 12.5. C. I.g AVAILABLE VACANCIES WILL BE SELECTED ON THE BASIS OF SENIORITY.
- 8. DO EMPLOYEES FROM A DISCONTINUED INSTALLATION RETAIN THEIR SENIORITY?
- 12.5.C.1.c FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES REMAINING IN THE SAME CRAFT ARE REASSIGNED WITH THEIR FULL SENIORITY. IF, HOWEVER, THEY ARE PLACED IN ANOTHER CRAFT, THEY TAKE THE LESSER OF THE FOLLOWING: (1.) ONE DAY JUNIOR TO THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME CRAFT AND LEVEL IN THE OFFICE TO WHICH REASSIGNED, OR (2.) THE SENIORITY THEY HAD IN THE CRAFT FROM WHICH ASSIGNED.
- 12.5.C.1.d & e PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES, IF REASSIGNED IN THE SAME CRAFT, WILL BE REASSIGNED WITH FULL SENIORITY. IF PLACED IN ANOTHER CRAFT, THEY ARE PLACED AT THE FOOT OF THE EXISTING PART-TIME FLEXIBLE ROSTER IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION.

12.5, C.2 - CONSOLIDATION OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

i. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.2.a - WHEN AN INDEPENDENT POSTAL INSTALLATION IS CONSOLIDATED WITH ANOTHER POSTAL INSTALLATION, EACH FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEE SHALL BE REASSIGNED.

2. HOW REASSIGNED?

• 12.5.C.2.a - EMPLOYEES WILL BE REASSIGNED ON AN INVOLUNTARY BASIS.

3. TO WHERE?

- 12.5.C.2.a REASSIGNMENT WILL BE TO A CONTINUING INSTALLATION, AND WITH FULL SENIORITY FOR BOTH FULL AND PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES.
- 4. DO EMPLOYEES HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS IF THE CONSOLIDATED INSTALLATION IS RE-ESTABLISHED?
- 12.5.C.2.c UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 2.c, IF THE CONSOLIDATED INSTALLATION AGAIN BECOMES AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION, EACH FULL AND PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEE IS ENTITLED TO RETURN TO THE ESTABLISHED INSTALLATION IN THE CRAFT AND LEVEL OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUP HELD AT THE TIME THE INSTALLATION WAS DISCONTINUED.
- 5. WHAT OCCURS IF CONSOLIDATION RESULTS IN AN EXCESS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION?
- 12.5.C.2.b IN THE EVENT AN EXCESS OF EMPLOYEES RESULTS IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION DUE TO THE CONSOLIDATION OF AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION, THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12 WOULD GOVERN IN REDUCING THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES THROUGH OTHER THAN ATTRITION.

12.5.C.3 - TRANSFER OF A CLASSIFIED STATION OR CLASSIFIED BRANCH TO THE JURISDICTION OF ANOTHER INSTALLATION OR MADE AN INDEPENDENT INSTALLATION

1. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

• 12.5. C.3.a - ALL FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES AT A CLASSIFIED STATION OR BRANCH WHO WISH TO REMAIN.

2. IS IT MANDATORY?

- 12.5.C.3.a NO...THE EMPLOYEES HAVE AN <u>OPTION</u> TO REMAIN WITH THE CLASSIFIED STATION/BRANCH, WITHOUT LOSS OF SENIORITY, OR THEY MAY REMAIN WITH THE INSTALLATION FROM WHICH THE CLASSIFIED STATION/BRANCH IS BEING TRANSFERRED.
- 3. IF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES IN THE AFFECTED UNIT DO NOT WISH TO STAY IN THE UNIT, WHO HAS FIRST CHOICE FOR THE VACANT POSITIONS?
- 12.5.C.3.b VACANCIES CREATED BY THE UNWILLINGNESS OF EMPLOYEES TO FOLLOW THE STATION/BRANCH TO THE NEW JURISDICTION ARE POSTED FOR BID, ON AN OFFICE-WIDE BASIS, IN THE LOSING INSTALLATION.
- 4. IF VACANCIES STILL REMAIN AFTER THE POSITIONS ARE POSTED IN THE LOSING INSTALLATION, WHAT ACTION IS THEN TAKEN?
- 12.5.C.3.c MANAGEMENT EXERCISES THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12, AND INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGN JUNIOR EMPLOYEES TO FILL THE VACANCIES. THE JUNIOR EMPLOYEES, INVOLUNTARILY EXCESSED, RETAIN THEIR RETREAT RIGHTS TO THE FIRST VACANCY IN THEIR LEVEL AND CRAFT IN THE INSTALLATION FROM WHICH THEY WERE INVOLUNTARILY TRANSFERRED.

12.5.C.4 - REASSIGNMENT WITHIN AN INSTALLATION OF EMPLOYEES EXCESS TO THE NEEDS OF A SECTION

- 1. WHO IDENTIFIES ASSIGNMENTS COMPRISING A SECTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES BY OTHER THAN ATTRITION?
- 12.5.C.4.a THE IDENTIFICATION OF ASSIGNMENTS COMPRISING A SECTION IS DETERMINED LOCALLY, THROUGH NEGOTIATIONS. IF A LOCAL UNION AND MANAGEMENT FAIL TO NEGOTIATE SECTIONS, THE ENTIRE INSTALLATION IS CONSIDERED A SECTION FOR REASSIGNMENT PURPOSES.

2. WHO IS REASSIGNED?

• 12.5.C.4.b - THE AGREEMENT REQUIRES MANAGEMENT TO REASSIGN THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME CRAFT AND LEVEL-

3. TO WHERE IS HE/SHE REASSIGNED?

12.5.C.4.b - THE EXCESSED EMPLOYEE IS REASSIGNED OUTSIDE THE SECTION, WITHIN THE INSTALLATION, IN THE SAME CRAFT AND LEVEL. SENIORITY IS RETAINED AND THE EMPLOYEE MAY BID ON ANY EXISTING VACANCY FOR WHICH THEY ARE ELIGIBLE. FAILING TO BID, THEY MAY BE ASSIGNED TO ANY VACANT DUTY ASSIGNMENT FOR WHICH THERE WAS NO SENIOR BIDDER, OR THEY MAY REMAIN AS AN UNENCUMBERED REGULAR UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THEY ARE ASSIGNED A NO BID POSITION, AS OUTLINED IN ARTICLE 37.4.C.

4. DOES THE EXCESSED EMPLOYEE HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?

• 12.5.C.4.c - THE EMPLOYEE DOES HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS TO THE FIRST RESIDUAL VACANCY IN THEIR LEVEL, AFTER EMPLOYEES IN THE SECTION HAVE COMPLETED BIDDING. HOWEVER, THEY LOSE THEIR RETREAT RIGHTS UPON THEIR FAILURE TO BID FOR THE FIRST RESIDUAL VACANCY IN THEIR SALARY LEVEL IN THE FORMER SECTION.

- 5. MUST THE EMPLOYEE EXERCISE HIS/HER RETREAT RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL JOB IN HIS/HER FORMER SECTION?
- 12.5.C.4.c THE RIGHT OF RETREAT TO THE SECTION IS OPTIONAL WITH THE EMPLOYEE WHO HAS RETREAT RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO A VACANCY IN A LOWER SALARY LEVEL. THE EMPLOYEE'S FAILURE TO EXERCISE THE OPTION TO RETURN TO A LOWER LEVEL POSITION WITHIN THE SECTION, HOWEVER, DOES NOT TERMINATE THE RETREAT RIGHTS IN THE SALARY LEVEL FROM WHICH THE EMPLOYEE WAS REASSIGNED.
- 6. IS THERE ANY RESTRICTION ON CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEES
 EXERCISING THEIR RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL POSITION IN
 A SECTION FROM WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN EXCESSED?
- 12.5.C.4.c YES....NEW LANGUAGE WAS ADDED IN THE 1984-87 AGREEMENT, RESTRICTING THE OPTION OF CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEES FROM EXERCISING RETREAT RIGHTS TO A VACANCY IN A LOWER SALARY LEVEL, TO ONLY THOSE ASSIGNMENTS FOR WHICH THE EMPLOYEE WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE TO BID.
- 7. WHO FILLS THE DUTY ASSIGNMENT VACATED BY THE EXCESSED JUNIOR EMPLOYEE?
- 12.5.C.4.d THE VACATED DUTY ASSIGNMENT MUST BE POSTED FOR BID WITHIN THE SECTION AND AWARDED TO THE SENIOR BIDDER WHO HAD SENIORITY TO REMAIN IN THE SECTION. IF THERE ARE NO BIDS, THE JUNIOR REMAINING UNENCUMBERED FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SECTION IS ASSIGNED TO THE VACANCY.

12.5.C.5.a - <u>REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN AN</u> INSTALLATION OTHER THAN BY ATTRITION?

- 1. WHAT ACTION MUST MANAGEMENT TAKE BEFORE EXCESSING FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES FROM AN INSTALLATION?
- MANAGEMENT IS REQUIRED TO:
- 12.5.C.5.a.1 DETERMINE BY CRAFT AND OCCUPATIONAL GROUP, THE NUMBER OF EXCESS EMPLOYEES.
- 12.5. C. 5. a. 2 SEPARATE ALL CASUALS TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THUS MINIMIZING THE IMPACT ON THE CAREER REGULAR WORKFORCE.
- 12.5.C.5.a.3 REDUCE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE HOURS, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, AGAIN, TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT ON FULL-TIME POSITIONS.
- 2. WHO IS DECLARED EXCESS?
- 12.5.C.5.a.4 THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SALARY LEVEL, CRAFT, AND OCCUPATIONAL GROUP AFFECTED, ON AN INSTALLATION WIDE BASIS.
- 3. WHERE ARE THEY REASSIGNED?
- 12.5, C.5.a.4 TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE EXCESSED FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES ARE REASSIGNED WITHIN THE INSTALLATION TO OTHER CRAFTS AT THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL.
- 4. HOW IS THIS ACCOMPLISHED?
- 12.5, C.5, a.4 THE EMPLOYEES ARE INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED.

- 5. DO THEY RETAIN THEIR CRAFT SENIORITY?
- 12.5.C.5.a.4 NO...THEY ARE REASSIGNED IN THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL, WITH SENIORITY WHICHEVER IS LESSER OF:
- 12.5.C.5.a.4.a ONE DAY JUNIOR TO THE SENIORITY OF THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME LEVEL AND CRAFT OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUP IN THE INSTALLATION TO WHICH ASSIGNED, OR
- 12.5, C.5, a.4.b THE SENIORITY THE EMPLOYEE HAD IN THE CRAFT FROM WHICH REASSIGNED.
- 6. DO THE REASSIGNED EMPLOYEES RETAIN RETREAT RIGHTS?
- 12.5.C.5.a.5 UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PART 5, THE EMPLOYEE HAS NO RETREAT RIGHTS AS AN OPTION. THE AGREEMENT REQUIRES THAT SHE/HE BE RETURNED TO THE CRAFT FROM WHICH REASSIGNED AT THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY.
- 7. CAN A SENIOR EMPLOYEE ELECT TO TAKE THE PLACE OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE SCHEDULED TO BE EXCESSED INTO ANOTHER CRAFT WITHIN THE SAME INSTALLATION?
- 12.5.C.5.a.7 THE RIGHT OF ELECTION OF A SENIOR EMPLOYEE TO TAKE THE PLACE OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR THIS CROSS-CRAFT ASSIGNMENT WITHIN THE INSTALLATION.

12.5.C.5.b - REASSIGNMENTS TO OTHER INSTALLATIONS AFTER MAKING REASSIGNMENTS WITHIN THE INSTALLATION

- 1. IF THE EXCESSED JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE CANNOT BE ASSIGNED TO ANOTHER CRAFT IN HIS/HER OWN INSTALLATION, WHAT OCCURS?
- 12.5.C.5.b.1 THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EXCESS EMPLOYEE IS ASSIGNED TO A POSITION IN THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL, IN THE SAME CRAFT, TO AN INSTALLATION WITHIN 100 MILES OF THE LOSING INSTALLATION, IF POSSIBLE.
- THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE NOT PLACED WITHIN THE CLERK CRAFT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABOVE PROVISIONS WILL BE ASSIGNED TO OTHER CRAFTS IN THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL, IN AN INSTALLATION WITHIN A 100 MILE RADIUS.

2. HOW WILL THIS BE ACCOMPLISHED?

- 12.5.C.5.b.2 EMPLOYEES FOR WHOM CONSULTATION DID NOT PROVIDE FOR PLACEMENT UNDER b.1 ABOVE IN OTHER CRAFTS OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS IN WHICH THEY MEET MINIMUM QUALIFICATION AT THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL WILL BE INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED.
- 3. WHAT EFFECT WILL THIS HAVE ON THEIR SENIORITY STANDING?
- 12.5.C.5.b.2 THEY WILL HAVE PERMANENT SENIORITY FOR DUTY ASSIGNMENT, WHICHEVER IS LESSER OF:
- 12.5, C.5, b.2.a ONE DAY JUNIOR TO THE SENIOR OF THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME LEVEL AND CRAFT OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUP IN THE INSTALLATION TO WHICH REASSIGNED, OR
- 12.5.C.5.b.2.b THE SENIORITY SHE/HE HAD IN THE CRAFT FROM WHICH REASSIGNED.

- 4. MAY A SENIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME CRAFT ELECT TO TAKE THE PLACE OF JUNIOR EXCESS EMPLOYEE?
- 12.5.C.5.b.3 ANY SENIOR EMPLOYEE IN THE SAME CRAFT OR OCCUPATIONAL GROUP IN THE SAME INSTALLATION MAY ELECT TO BE EXCESSED IN LIEU OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE.
- HOWEVER, THE SENIOR EMPLOYEE ELECTING TO BE EXCESSED IN LIEU OF A JUNIOR EMPLOYEE FORFEITS HIS/HER RETREAT RIGHTS.
- 5. WHO GETS PREFERENCE IN THE EVENT THAT TWO OR MORE VACANCIES ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY AVAILABLE?
- 12.5. C.5.b.4 THE SENIOR EMPLOYEE SUBJECT TO REASSIGNMENT GETS THE PREFERENCE.
- 6. IN ORDER TO AVOID EXCESSING, MAY A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE ELECT TO CHANGE TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE STATUS AND REMAIN IN HIS/HER OWN INSTALLATION?
- 1.5.C.5.b.5 YES...UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE AGREEMENT, THE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE HAS THE OPTION TO CHANGE TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE STATUS IN THE SAME CRAFT, IN LIEU OF AN INVOLUNTARY REASSIGNMENT.
- 7. DOES A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE EXCESSED TO ANOTHER INSTALLATION HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS?
- 12.5.C.5.b.6 UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PART 6, EMPLOYEES INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED RETAIN RETREAT RIGHTS, PROVIDED THEY HAVE FILED A WRITTEN REQUEST TO BE RETURNED TO THE FIRST VACANCY IN THE CRAFT AND LEVEL IN THE INSTALLATION FROM WHICH REASSIGNED.
- THE REQUEST IS HONORED SO LONG AS THE EMPLOYEE DOES NOT WITHDRAW IT, OR DECLINE TO ACCEPT AN OPPORTUNITY TO RETURN.

- 8. MAY A CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEE WHO HAS BEEN INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED, RETREAT TO HIS/HER FORMER INSTALLATION IN A LOWER LEVEL POSITION?
- 12.5.C.5.b.6 A CLERK CRAFT EMPLOYEE MAY, PROVIDED THEY HAVE FILED A WRITTEN REQUEST TO RETURN TO THE FIRST VACANCY IN THE SAME OR LOWER LEVEL, EXERCISE RETREAT RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL ASSIGNMENT. THE WRITTEN REQUEST, HOWEVER, MUST STIPULATE WHETHER THE EMPLOYEE DESIRES TO RETREAT TO A LOWER LEVEL ASSIGNMENT, AND IF SO, TO WHAT SALARY LEVEL ASSIGNMENTS FOR WHICH THE EMPLOYEE WOULD HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE TO BID.
- IF VACANCIES ARE AVAILABLE IN THE SPECIFIED LOWER SALARY LEVEL AND IN THE SALARY LEVEL OF THE EMPLOYEE WHEN REASSIGNED, THE EMPLOYEE WILL BE GIVEN THE OPTION. FAILURE TO EXERCISE RETREAT RIGHTS TO THE FIRST AVAILABLE VACANCY TERMINATES SUCH RIGHTS.
- 9. WHAT EFFECT WILL ELECTING RETREAT RIGHTS TO A LOWER LEVEL ASSIGNMENT HAVE ON SALARY PROTECTION?
- 12.5.C.5.b.6 AN EMPLOYEE ELECTING TO RETREAT TO A LOWER LEVEL ASSIGNMENT WILL NOT BE ENTITLED TO SALARY PROTECTION.

12.5.C.6 - CENTRALIZED MAIL PROCESSING AND/OR DELIVERY INSTALLATION (CLERK CRAFT ONLY)

1. WHEN IS 12.6 APPLICABLE?

- 12.5.C.6.a IT BECOMES APPLICABLE WHEN MANAGEMENT CONSOLIDATES MAIL PROCESSING OPERATIONS INTO A CENTRALIZED INSTALLATION, WHICH NORMALLY RESULTS IN THE EXCESSING OF A NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES FROM SEVERAL SMALLER INSTALLATION.
- 2. WHY IS THIS PROVISION DIFFERENT FROM THE PROVISIONS GOVERNING GENERAL INVOLUNTARY REASSIGNMENTS UNDER SECTION C.5.b?
- 12.5.C.6.a ALTHOUGH THE BASIC REASSIGNMENTS ARE MADE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 12.C.5.b, THIS SECTION DIFFERS, IN THAT IT REQUIRES THE REASSIGNED CLERKS TO BE PLACED IN A DETAIL STATUS FOR THE FIRST 180 DAYS, IN AN EFFORT TO AVOID INEQUITIES IN THE SELECTION FOR PREFERRED ASSIGNMENTS.
- 3. WHEN DOES THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD COMMENCE?
- 12.5.C.6.c THE 180 DAYS IS COMPUTED FROM THE DATE OF THE FIRST DETAIL OF AN EMPLOYEE INTO THE CENTRALIZED MAIL PROCESSING INSTALLATION.
- 4. CAN DETAILED EMPLOYEES BID FOR THE PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS DURING THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?
- 12.5.C.6.b NO...ONLY FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES WHO HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN IN THE INSTALLATION ARE ELIGIBLE TO BID ON THE PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS.

- 5. WHAT PROVISIONS ARE MADE FOR PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS THAT BECOME VACANT BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?
- 12.5.C.6.b THE PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS THAT MAY BECOME VACANT DURING THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD ARE POSTED FOR BID, BUT ONLY THE PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED EMPLOYEES IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION ARE ELIGIBLE TO BID.
- 6. WHEN CAN DETAILED EMPLOYEES BID FOR PREFERRED DUTY ASSIGNMENTS IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION?
- 12.5.C.6.c AS INDICATED ABOVE, THE REASSIGNED EMPLOYEES MAY BID AT THE END OF THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD.
- 7. WHAT POSITIONS IN THE CLERK CRAFT ARE POSTED AT THE END OF THE 180 DAY DETAIL PERIOD?
- 12.5.C.6.c ALL VACANT CLERK CRAFT POSITIONS ARE POSTED, AND ALL ELIGIBLE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES IN THE CRAFT IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION ARE ELIGIBLE TO BID.
- 8. IS THE PROCEDURE DIFFERENT WHEN A NEW CENTRALIZED UNIT IS ESTABLISHED?
- 12.5.C.6.d.1 & 2 YES...FULL-TIME CLERKS ARE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST REASSIGNMENT FROM THE LOSING INSTALLATION. THE REASSIGNMENTS WILL BE MADE ON THE BASIS OF SENIORITY, BUT WILL BE LIMITED TO THE NUMBER OF EXCESSED FULL-TIME CLERKS IN THE LOSING INSTALLATION.
- 9. WHAT IF THERE STILL ARE EXCESS EMPLOYEES IN THE CRAFT AT THE LOSING INSTALLATION AFTER SENIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGNED?
- 12.5.C.6.d.3 MANAGEMENT WILL INVOLUNTARILY REASSIGN THE JUNIOR FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES, AS PROVIDED IN ARTICLE 12.5.C.b.
- 10. DO THEY RETAIN SENIORITY?
- 12.5.C.6.d.1 YES....CLERKS TAKING THE OPPORTUNITY ARE REASSIGNED WITH THEIR FULL SENIORITY.

12.5.C.8 - REASSIGNMENTS - PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES IN EXCESS OF OUOTA (OTHER THAN MOTOR VEHICLE)

- 1. WHO IS AFFECTED?
- **12.5.C.8 PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES.**
- 2. WHAT PROCEDURES WILL MANAGEMENT USE IN EXCESSING PTF CLERKS?
- 12.5.C.8 THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLES LOWEST ON THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE ROLL EQUAL IN NUMBER TO SUCH EXCESSED WILL BE GIVEN AN OPTION TO BE REASSIGNED TO THE FOOT OF THE PTF ROLL IN THE SAME OR ANOTHER CRAFT IN ANOTHER INSTALLATION. ALTHOUGH THE EXCESSING IS INVOLUNTARY, THE SELECTION OF CRAFT AND INSTALLATION IS VOLUNTARY.
- 3. MAY A PART-TIME FLEXIBLE WHO IS INVOLUNTARILY EXCESSED RETAIN SENIORITY?
- 12.5.C.8.a NO...AN EXCESSED PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEE REASSIGNED TO ANOTHER CRAFT IN THE SAME OR ANOTHER INSTALLATION SHALL BE ASSIGNED TO THE FOOT OF THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE ROLL AND BEGIN A NEW PERIOD OF SENIORITY.
- 4. DO PART-TIME FLEXIBLES EVER REGAIN THEIR SENIORITY?
- 12.5.C.8.b YES...A PART-TIME FLEXIBLE WHO IS REASSIGNED INTO ANOTHER INSTALLATION IN THE CLERK CRAFT REGAINS THEIR SENIORITY UPON BECOMING A FULL-TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEE. THE EMPLOYEE'S SENIORITY FOR PREFERRED ASSIGNMENTS WILL THEN INCLUDE THE SENIORITY THE EMPLOYEE HAD IN THE LOSING INSTALLATION, AUGMENTED BY THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE SERVICE IN THE GAINING INSTALLATION.
- HOWEVER, IF THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEE IS REASSIGNED INTO ANOTHER CRAFT, HE/SHE DOES NOT REGAIN SENIORITY AT THE TIME HE/SHE MAKES REGULAR.

- 5. MAY A SENIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE ACCEPT REASSIGNMENT IN LIEU OF JUNIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE?
- 12.5.C.8.c YES...A SENIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE MAY VOLUNTEER TO BE EXCESSED IN LIEU OF A JUNIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE. THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE, HOWEVER, WILL ASSUME THE SENIORITY STATUS OF THE SENIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE BEING REASSIGNED.
- 6. WHO DESIGNATES OTHER INSTALLATIONS AVAILABLE FOR SUCH VOLUNTARY REASSIGNMENTS?
- 12.5.C.8.d THE POSTAL SERVICE, AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE UNION, WILL OFFER REASSIGNMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER:
- 1. OTHER CRAFT, SAME INSTALLATION,
- 2. SAME CRAFT, OTHER INSTALLATION,
- 3. OTHER CRAFTS, OTHER INSTALLATION.

7. DO PART-TIME FLEXIBLES RETAIN RETREAT RIGHTS?

- 12.5.C.8.f & g RETREAT RIGHTS ARE GRANTED TO PART-TIME FLEXIBLE EMPLOYEES ELECTING REASSIGNMENT TO ANOTHER INSTALLATION, EXCEPT FOR A PART-TIME FLEXIBLE VOLUNTEERING TO BE EXCESSED IN LIEU OF A JUNIOR PART-TIME FLEXIBLE. THE PART-TIME FLEXIBLE WILL HAVE RETREAT RIGHTS, PROVIDED HE/SHE MAKES A WRITTEN REQUEST AT THE TIME OF REASSIGNMENT FROM THE LOSING INSTALLATION, AND IT IS VALID UNTIL HE/SHE VOLUNTARILY WITHDRAWS THE REQUEST OR DECLINES TO BE RETURNED AT THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY.
- 8. WHAT ABOUT PART-TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES?
- 12.5.D PART-TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED IN THE CRAFT UNITS SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE IN A SEPARATE CATEGORY. ALL PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION APPLY TO PART-TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES WITHIN THEIR OWN CATEGORY.



30,4

April 11, 1996

MANAGERS, HUMAN RESOURCES (AREAS)

SUBJECT: 'Article 6 and 12 Questions and Answers

Attached are documents related to Articles 5 and 12 of the collective bargaining agreement. Included is Article 5 notification correspondence to the APWU National union communicating Reduction in Force (RIF) competitive levels decided on by the Postal Service after having met the contractual obligation to consult with the union. 'As you know, the applicable RIF competitive areas were already established and published in a Postal Bulletin.

In addition, there is a set of Q & A's explaining and clarifying the contractual provisions of Article 6 and Article 12 to help better understand some of the nacessary steps associated with the procedures. These Q & A's are intended to address the most asked questions on the seldom used provisions.

Please share this information with the appropriate labor and human resource professionals in the Area and District offices.

If there are any questions, do not hesitate to contact Peter Sgro of my staff at (202) 265-3824.

Anthony J. Vedilanta

Manager

Contract Administration (APWU/NPMHU)

Attachment

ATS L'SHEART PLAN SW WASHINGTON DC 20260-4100

LIMITED DUTY/CROSS GRAFTS

GRIEVANCE DOCUMENTATION OVERVIEW

The primary argument in limited duty /reassignment violation cases is contained in Section 546 of the ELM and the EL-505, Chapters 7 or 11 (whichever is applicable).

The language in the ELM, (Section 546.142.a) states, "The following considerations <u>must</u> be made in effecting such limited duty assignments."

The language in the EL-505 states, "If it is necessary to change any of the elements to meet the employee's physical limitations or to provide the employee with suitable work, the elements <u>must</u> be changed in this specific order."

The <u>must considerations</u> and <u>must order</u> referenced above in the ELM and EL-505 are as follows:

Priority of Choice	Regular Craft	Regular Tour	Regular Facility
1 st	Within	Within	Within
2^{nd}	Outside	Within	Within
3 rd	Within	Outside	Within
4 th :	Outside	Outside	Within
5 th	Within	Within	Outside
6 th	Outside	Within	Outside
7 ^{tt.}	Within	Outside	Outside
8 th	Outside	Outside	Outside

546.14 Disability Partially Overcome

546.141 General

The procedures for current employees cover both <u>limited</u> duty and rehabilitation assignments. <u>Limited duty assignments</u> are provided to employees during the recovery process when the effects of the injury are considered <u>temporary</u>. A <u>rehabilitation assignment</u> is provided when the effects of the injury are considered <u>permanent</u> and/or the employee has reached maximum medical improvement.

546.142 Obligation

When an employee has partially overcome the injury or disability, the Postal Service has the following obligation:

- a. Current Employees: When an employee has partially Service must make every effort toward assigning the employee to limited duty consistent with the employee's medically defined work limitation tolerance (see 546.611). In assigning such limited duty, the Postal Service should minimize any adverse or disruptive impact on the employee. The following considerations must be made in effecting such limited duty assignments:
 - (1) To the extent that there is adequate work available within the employee's work limitation tolerance, within the employee's craft, in the work facility to which the employee is regularly assigned, and during the hours when the employee regularly works, that work constitutes the limited duty to which the employee is assigned.

The second priority allows for the employee to work in another craft, but within the employee's work facility and tour.

The third priority allows for the employee to work <u>outside their tour</u>, but within their craft and facility.

In most cases, there will not be a need to go below the third priority, to find suitable work within the employee's medically defined work tolerance.

Section 546.2 of the ELM and EL-312 require the Postal Service to be in compliance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreements.

Contractual Provision

Article 15 If it is a ongoing violation each case should be filed stating, "this is a continuing violation", however the Local union needs to keep requesting supporting documentation as limited duty assignment could change.

Article 19: ELM, Section 546

EL-505, Chapter 7 (temporary assignment)

EL-505, Chapter11 (permanent assignment/max med. improve.)

EL-505, Chapter 12 (records and privacy act, if applicable)

EL-312, Section 716 (if reassignment is temporary)

EL-717, Section 717 (if reassignment is permanent)

ASM, Section 352 (Union request for information)

ASM Appendix 120.090 (information disclosure, if applicable)

EL-860, Chapter (Union review of medical record, if applicable)

Article 13 (if voluntarily requested by the employee and "other assignment")

Article 37 (Only if the reassignment violated the Craft Article)

Article 30 (Only if the reassignment violates the LMOU-Items 15, 16, 17)

- Article 5 (It could be a unilateral action if the Postal Service has a policy of reassigning injured employees in the Clerk Craft without reviewing medical limitation tolerances on an individual basis.)
- Article 7 (If after review it is determined that the employee does not have a compensable disability and/or it is strictly a crossing craft grievance and different wage level argument)
- Article 12 Burrus 6/11/90 Step 4 (Excessing/limited duty/Item 18 of LMOU)
- Article 8 (If we prove our case, <u>overtime</u> at the appropriate rate for all hours worked by the employee will be requested for clerks on OTDL)

Remedy

Request overtime for all clerks on the OTDL for all hours worked by the employee and to make the Clerk Craft whole. Additional remedies could include posting a job, conversion of a part-time flexible clerk, filling job vacancies and etc. These remedies are based on a case specific fact circumstances.

Documents to Request/Relevancy

Whenever the term "employee" is referenced in this section, it represents any employee working in the Clerk Craft from another Craft, in violation of the Collective Bargaining Agreement. Each employee violation is "case specific" since each will have different medical limitations

- Interview clerks in the facility (work area), the injured employee and his/her immediate supervisor. The interview is for the purpose of determining what clerk work is being performed and the amount of time used. Get statements, if possible.
- Request the current Form 50 for any employees working in the Clerk Craft that are not clerks.
- Request a copy of the employee's CA-1, CA-2 or CA-2a (whichever is applicable)
- Request a copy of the employee's current CA-17 and all CA-17's from the date of the filing CA-1 or CA-2 or CA-2a to present.

M	Request a copy of the employee's voluntary request for light or
	limited duty in accordance with Article 13 (if applicable)

 Request a copy of the employee's current bid job award (if encumbered) or last bid award (if unencumbered)

 Request a copy of the employee's current temporary limited duty assignment (if assigned under Chapter 7 of the EL-505)

 Request a copy of the employee's current permanent rehabilitation assignment (if assigned under Chapter 11 Of the EL-505)

'38	Request a copy of the LMOU of the Craft representing the employee and the APWU local LMOU (to ascertain if Item 15, 16, 17 identifies light/limited duty assignments in their own craft and consultations)
*	Request a copy of the PS Form 30 Operations Analysis (provides an overview for hours usage for all LDC's in facility). Your office may have replaced this form with the Flash Report.
¥	Request a copy of the Flash Report (if office doesn't use PS Form 30)
*	Request a copy of the employee listing for the installation by Craft.
Ħ	Copy of the Clerk Craft OTDL for the facility (in accordance with Item 14 of the LMOU)

For letter carrier violations, request these additional documents

Ħ	Request a copy	of DIOS (Delivery	Operations	Information	System)

■ E-Flash (Tracks mail volume)

• Copy of the employee's craft OTDL (Totals for the entire quarter, as the letter carrier craft use equalization of hours under article 8)

• AMES Carrier Delivery Statistics Report by Delivery Zip (This report shows the type of deliveries for the routes in the facility. Curbside, central, NDCBU and other deliveries can be made by most limited duty employees.

 Review the Class Route Summary in Red Edit Book for each letter carrier route in the facility

*	Review 3996-Carrier Auxiliary Assistance	(Select a review	of a
	Monday delivery to ascertain which routes	need assistance.	

Request a copy of Analysis of Late Leaving (From Form 1813 or PSDS Printout) for facility. This report will show the carriers in need of office help, of which most limited duty carriers can perform.

 Review Form 3999-Inspection of Letter Carrier Route for routes in the facility. (This report will show how much time is allowed for different segments of each route. Ex.-curbside boxes might be 2 hours)

Most of the documents, once requested will not have to be requested again, in subsequent grievances or in grievances involving other employees in the same facility. You only need to request general documents in one grievance and just make copies to place in other grievances

Attachments:

- 1. New revised request for information form from DC region
- 2. Limited Duty Assignments in the City Letter Carrier Craft
- 3. Limited Duty Assignments in the Mail Handlers Craft
- 4. New revised request for information form- DC Clerk Region

Other Related Handbooks and Manuals:

EL-201 Handbook (Bargaining Unit Position Descriptions)

EL-301 Handbook (Guidelines for Processing Personnel Actions)

EL-303 Handbook (Qualification Standards)

M-39 Handbook (Management of Delivery Services)

PO-603 Handbook (Rural Carriers Duties and Responsibilities)

M-41 Handbook (City Delivery Carriers Duties and Responsibilities)

The following regional regular arbitration cases were selected because of the excellent discussions and opinions made by the arbitrator and there reference to national awards. These cases support the following union arguments:

- ✓ "Make every effort" to assign to their own craft/ accommodations
- ✓ Affirmative defense by the Union involving the EL-546.141
- ✓ Shifting burden of proof to the USPS to prove case
- ✓ Continuing search for limited duty work in their own craft
- ✓ Wage level violations as it pertains to article 7.2 and EL-505
- ✓ Privacy act and USPS failure to provide medical documentation
- ✓ Limited duty and its application under Article 13 (other assignments)
- ✓ Article 30 language and "advance consultation with Union"
- ✓ No advance notification and Article 7 and Article 30
- ✓ Overtime as a remedy

Regio	nal Regular .	Arbitration Awards	
G90C-4G-C 93012108	Helburn	Shawnee, OK	12/7/93
K94C-4K-C 99007629	Loeb	Arlington, VA	2/23/03
C0C-4M-C-5800	Nathan	Traverse City, MI	11/18/93
J80C-4J-C 95023385	Walt	Ann Arbor, MI	3/15/01
D98C-4D-C 01251649	Miles	Richmond, VA	3/20/03
E0C-2E-C 19056	Berk	Lehigh Valley, PA	9/29/93
S7C-3B-C-21452	Marlatt	Mena, AR	5/14/90
J90C-4J-C 92049775	Fletcher	Arlington Heights, IL	4/28/95
K94C-4K-C 97045160	Drucker	Glen Burnie, MD	8/19/99
H98C-4H-C 00205726	Holley	Gadsden, AL	3/21/02

Limited Duty Carrier Assignments

Sort letter/flat mail in modified workstation/remain seated

Sort letter/flat mail

Write/Type PS Form 3982

File change of address cards

Input data into computer involving carriers

Growth management

Maintain routine route records

Maintain special order route records

Write up carriers accountable mail

Make carrier route case labels

Maintain Address Management books for carrier routes

Maintain Unit Goal posters

Update USPS employee bulletin boards

Record DPS errors received from carriers after return from street

Carrier information gathering for Unit Review

Coordinate USPS/carrier special events

NDCBU Street delivery of mail (Cluster Box)

High rise apartment delivery of mail

Truck mounted mail delivery

Complete Form 1564A for carrier routes

Maintain route maps for carrier routes

Maintain Form 3575 for carrier routes

Maintain Form 1621 for carrier routes

Sort mail to be forwarded into throw-back case for handling by clerks

Make deliveries on carrier routes

Limited Duty Mail Handler Assignments

Separate bundles of mail

Complete and attach labels on bundles according to content

Condemn mail bags

Remove cord fasteners and label holders

Prepare salvaged articles

Work at wire tying machines

Prepare facing slips

Sort trays, pouches and bags to appropriate distribution ponit

Label printing

Operate a jitney, fork-lift or pallet truck

AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO Nature of Allegation Grievant/Union) Request Date Date Received by USPS First () or Second (Check one of the above To: _____ Title: ____ Title: From: Subject: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION & DOCUMENTS RELATIVE TO PROCESSING A GRIEVANCE We request that the following documents and/or witnesses be made available to us in order to properly identify whether or not a grievance does exist and, if so, their relevancy to the grievance. USPS- Please return a 2nd copy of this form annotating information provided & date(1. 2. () 11._____() 12. 13. 14. 15.__ NOTE: Section 352 and Appendix 120.090 of the ASM covers the USPS requirements for providing information to the union. Chapter 2 of the EL-860 covers the release of medical documents to the union. The Joint Contractual Manual (JCAM) covers the time period and requirements for the release of information to the union and written reasons why the information request is not granted in the time period required. Article 17, Section 3 requires the Employer to provide for review all documents, files and other records necessary in processing a grievance. Article 31, Section 3 requires that the Employer make available for inspection by the Union all relevant information necessary for collective bargaining or the enforcement, administration or interpretation of this Agreement. Under 8a(5) of the National Labor Relations Act it is an Unfair Labor Practice for the Employer to fail to supply relevant information for the purpose of collective bargaining. Grievance processing is an extension of the collective bargaining process.

() REQUEST DENIED

(SIGNATURE REQUIRED)

() REQUEST APPROVED

(DATE OF DECISION)

NATIONAL ARBITRATION DECISION INDEX

				0. L	Decision	2000
Article	Case Number	Decision Date	Arbitrator	Subject	Decision	Since
	; ; ;			Supervisor claiming seniority rights upon		
4	A-NAT-3132	12-Oct-73	GAMSER	involuntary reassignment to craft	DENED	:
:			A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF	New clerk craft position "Distribution, Window		
*	N-NAT-3061	19-Oct-73	GAMSER	& Markup-see Gamser under Art.3	DENIED	S S
				Bargaining unit work by supervisors in post		
***	AB-NAT-1009	8-Jun-74	GAMSER	offices of 100 or more employees	SUSTAINED	
				Replacement of a FTR clerk by Postal	,	
-	AB-C-76	28-Aug-75	GARRETT	Assistant	DENIED	E E
! ***	C-NAT-15	28-Sep-76	GAMSER	Residual vacancies for rural carriers	DENIED	
الم	NC-NAT-1576	17-Jan-77	GARRETT	Duties assigned to carrier vs. clerk	DENED	
į			The state of the state of the state of the state of	Bid awarded to employee on supervisory		
4	NB-S-6859	30-Jun-77	FASSER	detail	SUSTAINED	1
1			***************************************	Gatehouse staffing changed from		
-	M-NAT-317	22-Jul-77	GARRETT	Mailhandler to Maintenance	SUSTAINED	뉟
:				Supervisor performance of bargaining unit		<i>/</i>
	AC-NAT-5221	6-Feb-78	GARRETT	work	MODIFIED	
	AC-NAT-5071	3-Jul-78	GARRETT	Philatelic Clerk (Spec.) PS-5 vs. PS-6	SUSTAINED	
:	the tax and the tax and tax an			Ranking Markup Clerk Automated position to		
4	AC-NAT 11991	12-Sep-79	GARRETT	level 5	DENIED	:
!	And the same of th			Head nurses performing bargaining unit		******
	NPPN-80-NAT-002	24-Aug-81	GAMSER	duties	DENIED	1
-	H8C-NA-C 64	22-Feb-82	GAMSER	Art 1.6-Interim Award	ARBITRABLE	1
1	H1C-NA-C 28	18-May-84	MITTENTHAL	Establishment of L-4, Mail Distributor pos.	SUSTAINED	z
-	H1C-NA-C 28	18-May-84	MITTENTHAL	Mail distribution position Level 4 Vs Level 5	SUSTAINED	
-	H1C-NA-C 26	24-Apr-85	AARON	New Mail Processor PS-3	SUSTAINED	
-	H1M-NA-C 14	14-Jul-86	ZUMAS	Mail Processor PS-4	DENIED	
-	AC-N-6922	3-Jul-90	SNOW	Bargaining unit work	DENIED	
				Personnel Assistant should be part of APWU		
-	H4C-4C-C 23981	3-Aug-95	SNOW	bargaining unit	DENIED	Q.
				Employee removed from promotion		
2	MB-E-22	7-Nov-75	GARRETT	assignment due to discrimination claim	SUSTAINED	z
				Grievant compensation for time spent in		
2	H8C-2D-C 7135	27-Aug-84	ВГОСН	Federal Court	Denied	
*						

* = Not in Folio NTP = No Folio title page ITP = Incomplete Folio title

IDR = Identified as Regional WD = Wrong Folio date NL = Not on orig. index

(H4C-NA-C 106	i L	1810180	Handicapped discrimination (continuing	Not Arbitrable	
2		25-Jul-94	SNOW	violation theory p. 24)	NOI Arolitable	
				Interim order request -New clerk craft		,
				position "Distribution, Window & Markup		
m	N-NAT-3061	13-Jun-73	GAMSER	Clerk (see Gamser 10/19/73 under Art 1)	DENIED	,
	the managed and an experience of the contract was a first of the contract and a second and a sec			Experimental OCR tests assigned to		
4	A8-NA-0371	31-Oct-80	AARON	Mailhandlers	DENIED	IDR
			and property as the contract of the contract o	Subcontracted remote video encoding for		
4	H7C-NA-C 96 ETAL	20-May-93	SNOW	RBCS	SUSTAINED	
ഹ	RC-C 0345/0312	5-Jul-79	HARKLESS	Replace rural with city cases; change labels	MODIFIED	
	*		And the second s	Carrier work breaks—scheduled count &	-	
.c	N8-NAT-0023	7-Apr-80	AARON	inspection cancelled	SUSTAINED	*
				Rural carriers comp. for attendance at		
r,	H1R-NA-C 111	20-Dec-85	ZUMAS	training film sessions	MODIFIED	The state of the s
	H1N-5G-C 14964	11-Mar-87	BERNSTEIN	Union insignia provision of ELM 583.32	SUSTAINED	ł
: : :				Excluding MPEs and ETs from eligibility for		,
ς,	HOT-3T-C 14424	16-Mar-95	MITTENTHAL	early retirement	DENIED	
~	~~~~	28-Dec-72	GARRETT	Termination of PTR mail handlers	SUSTAINED	
7	A-NAT-3444	28-Jun-73	GAMSER	Hiring clerk casuals per Art 7	DENIED	*
			The state of the s	Working Christmas casuals in lieu of FTR		:
		1-Jul-73	GAMSER	overtime	DENIED	z
	AW-NAT-5753 ETAL	2-Apr-75	GARRETT	Mail handler Vs clerk assignments	DENIED	
				Maximize FTRs and minimize PTFs with no		
7	AB-N-3744 ETAL	26-Jan-76	GARRETT	fixed work schedule	MODIFIED	
				Withholding carrier positions for excess		
~	NC-E-16340	7-Dec-79	GAMSER	clerks due to new LSM	DENIED	ER.
7	AC-C-13148 ETAL	20-Dec-79	GAMSER	Scheduling casuals before PTFs had 40 hrs	DENIED	
	ne de la companya de			Arbitrator authority re: maximization of full		
_	N8-NA-0141	7-Jul-80	MITTENTHAL	time assignments	SUSTAINED	,
7	AD-NAT-1311	13-Oct-81	GAMSER	RI-399 & MOU jurisdictional disputes	DENIED	
			-	PTF city carrier Vs. FTR Spec. Del. Msgr.		
7	H8S-5F-C 8027	7-Apr-82	вгосн	cross craft	SUSTAINED	
* *	= Not in Folio		IDR = Identified as Regional	is Regional		

IDR = Identified as Regional WD = Wrong Folio date NL = Not on orig. index

NTP = No Folio title page ITP = Incomplete Folio title

23-Aug-82 8-Dec-82 22-Jui-83 3 26-Jan-84 1 8-Jul-85 4 et al 21-Nov-85 2 13-Jun-86 8 4-Apr-86 13-Jun-86 8 4-Apr-86 13-Jun-86 8 5-Sep-88 5-Sep-88 5-Sep-89 57 26-Oct-90 1 et al 14-Dec-90 1 et al 29-Jan-94 1 in 1-Oct-95 1 in 1-Oct-95 2 24-Apr-98 2 24-Apr-98		H8T-2F-C 6605	9-Jul-82	MITTENTHAL	Reduction of hours for PTRs	DENIED	Менаун
Benefit	;	H8C-2F-C 7406	23-Aug-82	MITTENTHAL	Mail handler Vs. clerk cross craft	SUSTAINED	
8-Dec-62 MITTENTHAL PTF assignment of work by senionly DENIED 22-Jul-83 MITTENTHAL PTF stoom AGO Vs casuals at MSC DENIED 26-Jan-84 BLOCH PTFs from AGO Vs casuals at MSC DENIED 26-Jan-84 MITTENTHAL 7.3 C Converting PTF to FT-Art 41.2 B.4 & Art SUSTAINED 27-Jan-86 MATTENTHAL 7.3 C DENIED DENIED 27-Jan-86 HARKLESS COLLINS Gasuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Gasuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Gasuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Remedy on violations of 90% as per Article SUSTAINED 15-May-87 ZUMAS Remedy on violation of 90% as per Article SUSTAINED 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3 A SUSTAINED 28-Oct-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3 A Susuals - exceeding 5% ceiling 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL 99/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL Gasu				TO THE THEORY (AND ADDRESS OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE	Carrier assignment to mail distribution at a		
22-Jui-83			8-Dec-82	MITTENTHAL	detached lock box	DENIED	!
26-Jan-94 BLOCH PTFs from AOs Vs casuals at MSC DENIED	;	-	22-Jul-83	MITTENTHAL	PTF assignment of work by seniority	DENIED	
Salut-85		H8C-4J-C 34063	26-Jan-84	ВГОСН	PTFs from AOs Vs casuals at MSC	DENIED	
et al 8-Jul-85 MITTENTHAL 7.3 C SUSTAINED et al 21-Nov-85 ZUMAS OT — casuals Vs FTRs on ODL DENIED 27-Jan-86 HARKLESS diverless tractors (past pract lang) DENIED 4-App-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Posting 2 PTR custodial positions vs FTR DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Remedy on violation of 90% as per Article SUSTAINED 13-Aug-89 MITTENTHAL Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 14-Dec-80 MITTENTHAL Gasuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 14-Dec-90 MITTENTHAL MOS staffing requirement/maximization Non-Interpretar 1-Loct-96 MITTENTHAL Gasual 5% ceiling Non-Interpretar 1-Loct-96 Clark APWUU Interest - TE <td></td> <td></td> <td>A COLOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR</td> <td>The second of the second secon</td> <td>Converting PTF to FT-Art 41.2.B.4 & Art</td> <td></td> <td></td>			A COLOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The second of the second secon	Converting PTF to FT-Art 41.2.B.4 & Art		
PENIED P		H1N-2B-C 4314	8-Jul-85	MITTENTHAL	7.3.C	SUSTAINED	£
Assigning clerks Vs mail handlers to monitor diverless tractors (past pract lang) 4-Apr-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs ColLINS Casuals PFR custodial positions Vs FTR Bevator Operators - MH or Maintenance 15-May-87 ZUMAS Remedy on violation of 90% as per Article Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained DOBRANSKI 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 1-Oct-96 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions T-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 6% limit (new Apwill assign. Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 6% limit (new Apwill assign. DOBRANSKI Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED DENIED DENIED DENIED MODIFIED MODIFIED MODIFIED Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 6% limit (new Apwill assign.	:	H1C-4K-C 27344 et al	21-Nov-85	ZUMAS	OT - casuals Vs FTRs on ODL	DENIED	*
27-Jan-86 HARKLESS driverless tractors (past pract lang) DENIED 4-Apr-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mail on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Posting 2 PTR custodial positions Vs FTR DENIED 15-May-87 ZUMAS Revalor Operators - MH or Maintenance DENIED 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 73-A SUSTAINED 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals - exceeding 5% ceiling SUSTAINED 9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED n 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED n 14-Dec-90 MITTENTHAL 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED n 1-Loct-96 MITTENTHAL Gasuals performing bargaining unit DENIED n 25-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED n 1-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED <					Assigning clerks Vs mail handlers to monitor		
4-Apr-86 COLLINS Casuals keying live mall on LSMs DENIED 13-Jun-86 COLLINS Posting 2 PTR custodial positions of 90% as per Article DENIED 15-May-87 ZUMAS Craft positions DENIED 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 73-A Remedy on violation of 90% as per Article SUSTAINED 28-Cot-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals - exceeding 5% ceiling 9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit of DENIED 9-Aug-89 MITTENTHAL Gasuals performing bargaining unit of 90% staffing requirement/maximization DENIED 1-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 1-Cot-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED 1-Cot-90 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED n 1-Cot-96 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art 1) DENIED 1-Jun-99		H1M-2F-C 11551	27-Jan-86	HARKLESS	driverless tractors (past pract lang)	DENIED	
13-Jun-86 COLLINS Posting 2 PTR custodial positions Vs FTR DENIED		H1C-3T-C 32308	4-Apr-86	COLLINS	Casuals keying live mail on LSMs	DENIED	E.
15-May-87 ZUMAS Craft positions Sustained 15-May-87 ZUMAS Craft positions Sustained 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL T 3.A 28-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL Casuals exceeding 5% ceiling 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL Office Sustained Sustained 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL Memo Sustained Sustained Sustained 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Mon-Interprace 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED 24-Apr-96 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED 1-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.		H1T-3A-C 41312	13-Jun-86	COLLINS	Posting 2 PTR custodial positions Vs FTR	DENED	:
15-May-87 ZUMAS craft positions DENIED 33 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3 A SUSTAINED 28-Oct-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals - exceeding 5% ceiling SUSTAINED 9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL MODIFIED 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED at al 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Non-Interp ai 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED n 1-Oct-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (5% limit (new DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Applic		The second section of the second seco			Elevator Operators - MH or Maintenance		
28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3.A 2.8-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3.A 2.8-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3.A 2.8-Oct-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 90.0BRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 90.0 staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 90.0ct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED DENIED Utilize PTFs from AOs before using casuals Non-Interp 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Clark APW		H4M-4C-C 6032	15-May-87	ZUMAS	craft positions	DENIED	
93 28-Sep-88 MITTENTHAL 7.3.A SUSTAINED 28-Oct-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals – exceeding 5% ceiling SUSTAINED 9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL memo 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED at al 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Non-Interp n 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 24-Apr-96 DAS evidence lang.) DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED 77397 1-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.					Remedy on violation of 90% as per Article		4·*-M
28-Öct-88 MITTENTHAL Casuals - exceeding 5% ceiling SUSTAINED 9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED et al 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Non-Interp ai 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED n 1-Oct-95 Clark ApwW Interest - TE MODIFIED n 7-Jun-96 Clark ApwW Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED		H4C-NA-C 77 & 93	28-Sep-88	MITTENTHAL	7.3.A	SUSTAINED	eri (in presentation de la constantina
9-Aug-89 DOBRANSKI Casuals performing bargaining unit DENIED 90% staffing requirement/maximization MODIFIED 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL memo 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED 6-4-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited DENIED Application		H4C-NA-C 65/95	28-Oct-88	MITTENTHAL	Casuals exceeding 5% ceiling	SUSTAINED	**************************************
5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL memo 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned at facility where assigned APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED		H4C-1K-C 33597	9-Aug-89	DOBRANSKI	Casuals performing bargaining unit	DENIED	-Tylunes
5-Sep-89 MITTENTHAL memo 26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned at facility where assigned at facility where assigned APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED enployees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED assign.	!				90% staffing requirement/maximization		
26-Oct-90 MITTENTHAL 90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions DENIED Utilize PTFs from AOs before using casuals 14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned at facility where assigned 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% Jimit (new DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application assign.		H1C-NA-C 120	5-Sep-89	MITTENTHAL	memo	MODIFIED	o L
14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Non-Interp 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED MODIFIED APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED MODIFIED APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED assign.	1	H7N-3D-C 22267	26-Oct-90	MITTENTHAL	90/10 ratio/withholding of vacant positions	DENIED	
14-Dec-90 DOBRANSKI at facility where assigned Non-Interp 29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED assign.	i				Utilize PTFs from AOs before using casuals		
29-Jan-94 MITTENTHAL Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion MODIFIED 1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 31-Dec-97 Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED 4-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.		H4C-4A-C 7931 et al	14-Dec-90	DOBRANSKI	at facility where assigned	Non-Interp	* 1
1-Oct-95 Clark APWU Interest - TE MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED 7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% Jimit (new DENIED DENIED 31-Dec-97 DAS evidence lang.) DENIED 24-Apr-38 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED 4-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.	1	H7C-NA-C 36 et al	29-Jan-94	MITTENTHAL	Casual 5% ceiling - December exclusion	MODIFIED	*
7-Jun-96 Clark APWU Supplemental Interest - TE MODIFIED Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% Jimit (new DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED assign.	i	Interest Arbitration	1-Oct-95	Clark	APWU Interest - TE	MODIFIED	*
Contract Interpretation - use of casual employees in excess of 5% limit (new DENIED 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED DENIED assign.	:	Interest Arbitration	7-Jun-96	Clark	APWU Supplemental Interest - TE	MODIFIED	*
31-Dec-97 DAS evidence lang.) 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED assign.	1				Contract Interpretation - use of casual		-
31-Dec-97 DAS evidence lang.) 24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) DENIED Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty DENIED Application assign.					employees in excess of 5% limit (new		
24-Apr-98 EISCHEN Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1) Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty 1-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.		H4-NA-C 72	31-Dec-97	DAS	evidence lang.)	DENIED	£
Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty 1-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.	:	H7C-NA-C 32	24-Apr-98	EISCHEN	Spreading mail to carrier cases (Art. 1)	DENIED	i
1-Jun-99 DOBRANSKI assign.					Application of 7.2 notice for limited duty		
		G94C-4G-C 96077397	1-Jun-99	DOBRANSKI	assign.	DENIED	*

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

J90C-1J-C 92066413 DOBRANSKI N-E-123 1-Dec-72 GARRETT AB-C-341 27-Juj-75 GAMSER AB-E-2703 2-Sep-76 GAMSER AB-E-2703 2-Sep-76 GAMSER RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GAMSER NC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARSER MB-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-4F-C 10343 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL HRN-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 BLOCH H1G-4B-C 2129 5-Sep-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL					Il imited duty rural carrier temp, assigned to		
NE-123 1-Dec-72 GARRETT AB-C-341 27-Jul-75 GAMSER AB-E-2703 2-Sep-76 GAMSER RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-C-601 19-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT HIT-4K-C 2121 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL HT-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER HTC-5F-C 1004 et al 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL HTT-4K-C 2121 12-Ap-83 AARON HTT-4K-C 2121 12-Ap-83 AARON HTG-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH HTG-4B-C 2129 5-Sep-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	7	.190C-1.1-C 92056413		DOBRANSKI	clerk craft - ELM 546	DENIED	
N-E-123 1-Dec-72 GARRETT AB-C-341 27-Jul-75 GAMSER AB-E-2703 2-Sep-76 GAMSER RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C-601 19-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT HRC-4A-C 13885 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL HTC-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER HTC-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER HTT-4K-C 2121 12-Ap-82 MITTENTHAL HTG-4B-C 17682 12-Ap-83 AARON HTG-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH HAC-NA-C 21/23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21/27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	- :				Guarantee of pay for employees called in		
AB-C-341 27-Juj-75 GAMSER AB-E-2703 21-May-76 GAMSER RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT ME-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GAMSER HRC-4A-C 13985 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER HIT-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER HRT-5F-C 10448 et al 11-Nov-62 GAMSER HRN-5B-C 17682 12-Nov-62 GAMSER HRN-5B-C 17682 12-May-83 BLOCH HIM-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH HAC-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL		N-E-123 .	1-Dec-72	GARRETT	outside regular shift	SUSTAINED	:
AB-E-2703 21-May-76 GAMSER RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT HBC-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-62 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-May-83 BLOCH H1G-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	:	AB-C-341	27-Jul-75	GAMSER	Premium pay for vol. temp. assignments	MODIFIED	
RB-N-109 2-Sep-76 GAMSER AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-G-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MG-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 12-Nov-62 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-62 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8M-5B-C 17682 15-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 21 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL		AB-E-2703	21-May-76	GAMSER	Wash-up time - past practice	SUSTAINED	귈
AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-S-10828 19-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-G-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MG-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 27-Jan-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 12-Nov-62 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-62 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8M-5B-C 17682 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ω	RB-N-109	2-Sep-76	GAMSER	Add 2 min. to annual RC count for wash-up	DENIED	뉟
AB-E-5424 1-Sep-77 GAMSER NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MR-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MR-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10343 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H1T-4F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 1048 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 21729 16-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21723 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21727 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21727 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	1			the team of the te	Out-of-schedule premium pay for short term		
NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-S-10828 19-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MB-W-0027 et al 25-Jun-79 GARRETT H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H1T-4F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 21729 16-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21721 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21727 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21727 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ထ	AB-E-5424	1-Sep-77	GAMSER	assignments-bid & filled by seniority.	DENED	z i
NC-C 7933 8-Jan-79 GARRETT NC-S-10828 19-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT MR-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 GAMSER H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H1T-4K-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	1 : :				Reasons listed in 8.5.E to excuse employees		 -
NC-S-10828 19-Jun-79 GAMSER MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10343 12-Nov-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10348 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H3N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ω	NC-C 7933	8-Jan-79	GARRETT	from working OT are examples	DENIED	z:
NC-S-10828 19-Jun-79 GAMSER MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H1T-4F-C 10348 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 12-Apr-83 AARON H1T-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	•			A read of the contract of the	Pay OT for temp limited duty assignment	And Park	
MC-C-601 25-Jun-79 GARRETT M8-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ထ	NC-S-10828	19-Jun-79	GAMSER	outside regular work hours	SUSTAINED	4
M8-W-0027 et al 26-Nov-80 MITTENTHAL H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H8T-4F-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1C-4B-C 2129 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ļœ	MC-C-601	25-Jun-79	GARRETT	Level 5 Group Leader assignment	DENED	
H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER GAMSER H1T-4R-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 BLOCH H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 / 21 / 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	: co	M8-W-0027 et al	26-Nov-80	MITTENTHAL	PTF Vs FTR ODL overtime assignments	DENED	
H8C-4A-C 13985 et al 27-Jan-82 MITTENTHAL H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H8T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	I				Temporary supervisors - right to out-of-		
H1C-5F-C 1004 et al 10-Sep-82 GAMSER H8T-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ဆ	H8C-4A-C 13985 et al	27-Jan-82	MITTENTHAL	schedule OT premium - past practice	SUSTAINED	, to .
H8T-4H-C 10343 21-Oct-82 GAMSER H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1C-4B-C 2129 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ıω	H1C-5F-C 1004 et al	10-Sep-82	GAMSER	Unassigned regular schedule change	SUSTAINED	
H1T-4K-C 2121 12-Nov-82 MITTENTHAL H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1G-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ίω	H8T-4H-C 10343	21-Oct-82	GAMSER	Pay for therapy for on-the-job injury	SUSTAINED	*
H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	φ	H1T-4K-C 2121	12-Nov-82	MITTENTHAL	Out-of-schedule work - OT	DENED	1
H8T-5F-C 10448 et al 31-Dec-82 GAMSER H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	1				Out-of-schedule premium pay for training,		
H8N-5B-C 17682 12-Apr-83 AARON H1C-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	80	H8T-5F-C 10448 et al	31-Dec-82	GAMSER	planned, prepared, coordinated	DENIED	;
H1G-4B-C 2129 16-May-83 BLOCH H1M-3W-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 11-Apr-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	æ	H8N-5B-C 17682	12-Apr-83	AARON	Calling in NON-ODL employee when ODL	SUSTAINED	* ;
H4C-NA-C 29228 5-Sep-85 BLOCH H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 15-May-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ထ	H1C-4B-C 2129	16-May-83	ВГОСН	Volunteer overtime work over 10 hrs. in day	SUSTAINED	:
H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 H4C-NA-C 21 / 27	œ	H1M-3W-C 29228	5-Sep-85	BLOCH	Completing 3971 to be excused from OT	DENED	:
H4C-NA-C 19 / 21 H4C-NA-C 21 / 23 H4C-NA-C 21 / 27	t				1st issue - ODLs can't accept or refuse OT		
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ထ	H4C-NA-C 19/21	11-Apr-86	MITTENTHAL	beyond Art. 8.5.F. limits	MODIFIED	:
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	İ				2nd Issue - Arbitrability of penalty OT pay to		
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	ω	H4C-NA-C 21 / 23	15-May-86	MITTENTHAL	any holiday work	MODIFIED	*
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 9-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL					4th Issue - Violation of Art 8,5G2 not		-
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	80	H4C-NA-C 21 / 27	9-1un-86	MITTENTHAL	necessarily limited to double time	MODIFIED	<u>e</u> :
H4C-NA-C 21 / 27 12-Jun-86 MITTENTHAL	:				3rd Issue - Working employees more than 60		-Annagaga
	හ	H4C-NA-C 21 / 27	12-Jun-86	MITTENTHAL	hours in a week	MODIFIED	•

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio
title 3

				5th Issue - Violation of "letter carrier		
ω	H4C-NA-C 21	26-Jun-86	MITTENTHAL	paragraph" in Nat'l Art. 8 Memo	MODIFIED	* :
!				Working OT more than 5 consecutive days -		*********
œ	H1N-4G-C 35899	. 11-Jul-86	MITTENTHAL	Arbitrability	ARBITRABLE	D.
3				Equitable distribution of OT- opportunities		,
ω	H1N-5G-C 2988 et al	14-Aug-86	BERNSTEIN	offered, not hours worked	REMANDED	*
iω	H1N-3U-C 10621 et al	10-Sep-86	BERNSTEIN	Seniority for FT reserve Vs. PTFs	MODIFIED	
!		Communication of the section of the section of		3rd Issue - Pay when employee sent home		
8	H4C-NA-C 21 / 27	11-Sep-87	MITTENTHAL	mid-tour due to 60 hour limit	SUSTAINED	* 7
:	The state of the s		and the same and t	PTF called to report to work-before leaving		
φ:	H1N-3U-C 28621	13-Dec-88	BRITTON	was informed services not needed	DENIED	4 :
. ω	H4C-NA-C 30	14-Jan-91	MITTENTHAL	Simultaneous sched of OT-ODL & non-ODL	DENIED	vergione <u>bare</u>
iω	H1N-5D-C 297 et al	16-Jun-94	MITTENTHAL	Calculating FLSA overtime	MODIFIED	
ω	B90N-4B-C 94027390	20-Aug-96	SNOW	TE 12-hour work limit rule & ELM 432	SUSTAINED	ПР
: co	B90N-6E-C 94021412	20-Aug-96	SNOW	TE 4 hr. guarantee on call back	DENIED	Z
: 60	H0N-5G-C 15299 et al	8-Sep-97	SNOW	TE is aux. assist. Under LC paragraph	SUSTAINED	* J
α	A90N-4A-C 94042668 &	30 NON 08	WON'S	10/19/88 MOU- Exclusive Remedy	GHNIC	*
٠,				Pay level for sign painters - language about)	
0	AB-N-12685	25-Jul-77	GAMSER	authority of representatives at Step 1	SUSTAINED	귛
:00	H1M-4C-C 8964	28-Sep-83	MITTENTHAL	Cash bonus - eligibility - appreciation date	SUSTAINED	
<u>.</u> !			en de contrar engampadolomis en commercia de monomismos demos de contrar de contrar de contrar de contrar de c	Change in handbook to allow "on the fly"		
ග	H1C-NA-C 49	7-Dec-83	MITTENTHAL	rotation between operators on LSM	DENIED	
				COLA roll-in option - supervisor returning to		
G	H1C-3U-C 10899	12-Dec-83	MITTENTHAL	bargaining unit	DENED	
Ø	H1M-1J-C 7149	30-Jan-84	ВГОСН	Employee in non-pay status entitled to bonus	DENIED	
6	H1M-5L-C 11359	31-Dec-85	ZUMAS	LWOP leave credit reduction	SUSTAINED	
6	H1N-NA-C 66 et al	5-Aug-86	KERR	Annuity protection	MODIFIED	
G	H4C-NA-C 20 & 33	8-Dec-88	MITTENTHAL	Calculating promotion salary increases	DENIED	
				Step increase waiting period exclude		
G	H7C-NA-C 39	22-Sep-89	MITTENTHAL	APMG Joe Mahon's Testimony	SUSTAINED	
	H7C-NA-C 39	13-Jun-90		SETTLEMENT	SETTLED	*
* = Not	= Not in Folio		IDR = Identified as Regional	ss Regional		

* = Not in Folio

NTP = No Folio title page

ITP = Incomplete Folio

Itle =

ž		음		:			:	!	* :		-	1		*		,5 - * ,	:	:	WD		1		* }	DR.					
SUSTAINED	,	DENIED	MODIFIED		DENIED	REMANDED	DENED	DENED	MODIFIED		DENED	DENED	DENIED	SUSTAINED		DENED	SUSTAINED	DENIED	MODIFIED	MODIFIED	DENIED	DENIED	DENIED	DENED	DENED		MODIFIED	DENIED	DENIED
TE basic wage rate after 2/20/95 COLA	Level 6 FSM employees were abolished and	excessed to L-4 Mail Processor	Maint. & clerk employees denied leave	because others on leave	Implementing changes in ELM Part 510	Automatic approval for incidental leave	LSM operators & wash-up time	Nixon "Day of Mourning"	Timely volunteer to work holiday-not sched.	Holiday pay due certain employees due to	not being notified they are not scheduled	Posted holiday schedule guarantee	Holiday schedule-use of ODL Vs volunteers	Mgmt did not grant court leave on a holiday	Holiday scheduling premium for FTR carriers	called in to replace St. call-ins	Incorrect reassignment	Claimed seniority impasse in local negot.	Increase & decrease hours in same section	Involuntary reassignment of MH's to BMC	Voluntary Reassign	Hiring PTFs while excessing FT clerks	Excess clerks into letter carrier craft	Retreat Rights	Improper asnmt, to work at another inst.	Excessed & assigned to lower level - no	refreat rights	Access to grievance procedure for probation period perf. evaluation	Discharged during probation alleging discrimination & IOD claim (Art 2)
MONS	Market 12 - 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	DAS		GARRETT	MITTENTHAL	MITTENTHAL	SNOW	DAS	FASSER		GAMSER	GAMSER	MITTENTHAL	BLOCH		SNOW	GAMSER	GARRETT	GAMSER	FASSER	FASSER	FASSER	FASSER	GAMSER	AARON		ВГОСН	ZUMAS	ZUMAS
9-Jan-96		16-Apr-97		14-Dec-79	9-Sep-83	6-Oct-89	25-Jul-94	7-Apr-98	16-Aug-78		22-Dec-79	25-Oct-82	15-Apr-83	4-Apr-86		28-Nov-97	6-Aug-73	1-Oct-73	9-Nov-73	8-Dec-76	28-Apr-78	21-Nov-78	21-Nov-78	25-Aug-79	16-Oct-79		19-Jul-82	19-Sep-85	23-Sep-85
O90C-4C-C 95036868	and the state of t	H90C-1H-C 93045596	e une ear en emperen ambar ambar a se una de la servición de se en esta de la secución de la sec	AC-S-25727 ETAL	H1C-NA-C 33	H4C-4C-C 24016 et al	H0C-3W-C 4833	Q90C-6Q-C 94042619	NC-C 6085		MC-C 481	H8C-5D-C 15429	H8C-5D-C 14577	H1C-5K-C 27458		B90N-4B-C 94029392	A-NAT-2341	A-NAT-2833	A-NAT-4157	MC-C-325	ACN- 2558	AC-E 22, 783	NC-E 11,737	MC-N 1386	AC-E-20433	The second secon	H8T-4H-C 10784	H1C-5L-C 25010	H1C-4C-C 27351 et al
6	,	6	;	2		10	10	10	-		-	1	1	1		-	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12		12	:	12

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

				Sub-rural carrier probation completion count		
	H1C-5F-C 22306	5-Oct-85	ZUMAS	for career appointment	DENIED	
: 2	H7N-4U-C 3766 et al	13-Aug-90	MONS	Former supervisors reassigned to barg, unit	SUSTAINED	:
				Employee excessed into carrier craft-new		(
12	H7N-4Q-C 10845	19-Dec-91	SNOW	seniority (past pract. lang. pg 47)	MODIFIED	
:				After the hearing, NALC agreed w/mgmt's		
12	190N-4I-C 92057810	20-Jun-97	SNOW	interp. of Art. 12.5.C.6.	DENIED	*
13	AB-S-1041	8-Oct-76	GARRETT	Demotion physical incapacity	SUSTAINED	음
100	NC-C 9542	4-Jun-79	GARRETT	Permanent light duty assignment	SUSTAINED	품;
15	AB-W-0220	26-Dec-80	GAMSER	Mgmt refusal to use rest bar in flat position	SUSTAINED	:
<u>.</u> £	H1C-5D-C 2128	24-Jan-83	AARON	Rural carrier transfer to FTR clerk craft	DENIED	N
	4	. said making shimper. P. T.	The same of the sa	Agrml. to return It duty carrier from clerk craft		
£	H1C-4B-C 7361	5-Oct-83	ВГОСН	does not affect clerk bid to losing craft	SUSTAINED	z :
13	H8N-5B-C 22251	14-Nov-83	MITTENTHAL	Disqualification from bid-temp. disability	SUSTAINED	Z:
<u>:</u> E	H8C-43-C 34592	15-Dec-83	BLOCH	Denial of bid while on temp. light duty	DENIED	
13	H1C-3T-C 18210	25-Jun-84	MITTENTHAL	Permanent reassignment to light duty	DENIED	
133	H1C-4K-C 17373	4-Jan-85	MITTENTHAL	FTR carrier perm. reassigned to clerk	DENIED	1.7
13	H1C-4E-C 35028	12-Jun-87	MITTENTHAL	Light duty — no guarantee of 8 hrs. for FTRs	DENIED	
!			A A AND THE RESIDENCE AND THE PARTY AND THE	Permanently assigned carrier involuntarily to		
13	H1N-1J-C 23247	7-Aug-87	BERNSTEIN	clerk craft-medical condition	SUSTAINED	
:		The same of the sa		Americans w/Disabilities Act - denial of bid	phonon in the	*******
13	H1C-5K-C 24191	29-Apr-91	SNOW	assignment due to inability to work OT	SUSTAINED	
:	The same that the same and the same that the same same same same same same same sam			Assign rehab to another craft as FTR if PTFs		-,
13	H0C-3N-C 418	7-Feb-94	SNOW	exist in gaining craft	SUSTAINED	1
ļ ļ				Reassignment of a FTR, partially disabled		
13	H94N-4H-C96090200	4-Nov-98	SNOW	letter carrier to PTF in clerk craft	SUSTAINED	*
				Separate union rep. on Field Federal Safety		
14	H1C-4F-C 15924	5-Jul-84	BLOCH	& Health Council	SUSTAINED	
14	H1T-4H-C 28439	19-Aug-85	MITTENTHAL	Safety of FSMs	DENIED	
	Party of the same and the same			Compensation for carrier to attend meetings		
14	H1N-3D-C 40171	8-Apr-87	BERNSTEIN	outside regular schedule	DENIED	<u></u>
15	HIRING FREEZE	29-Dec-72	SEWARD	Freeze on hiring & Retirement Annuities	ARBITRABLE	MTP

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

				Arbitrability - Supv. Refused to discuss and		
15	M-NAT-12	4-May-73	GAMSER	w/drawal from arb. to Step 4	ARBITRABLE	:
15	N-E-100	30-Jul-73	SEWARD	Initiating union-right/employee-right griev.	MODIFIED	
:			the table of the contract of t	Timeliness issue-14 day retro active liability		
ξ.	AB-NAT-2541	15-May-75	GAMSER	for work outside of schedule	MODIFIED	:
5	AB-C-10	11-Nov-75	GARRETT	Timely appeals	Sust. & Rem.	띮
!				Automatic pay step increase deferred/&		
15	AB-N-10009-D	25-Mar-76	GAMSER	removal - unsatisfactory performance	MODIFIED	
:	The state of the s			Conversion of Short-Hour Subs to PTFs and		
15	AC-NAT-1311	18-Nov-76	FASSER	union procedural defects	DENIED	:
15	SB-055	1-Dec-76	GAMSER	Timeliness	DENIED	<u></u> 吕:
:				Vet's right to arbitration after CSC dismissed		-
15	NC-W4391-D	30-Nov-77	GAMSER	case because untimely filed	SUSTAINED	z :
!	and the same of th			Claimed exception to 14 day retro active		***************************************
15	AB-S-1659	5-Dec-77	GAMSER	liability for work outside of schedule	DENIED	뉟
12	N8-NAT-0006	10-Jul-79	MITTENTHAL	Location of Step 3 meetings-past practice	DENIED	·
12	N8-NA-0344	10-Jul-81	GAMSER	Untimely appeals to Step 4	DENIED	
•			and the state of t	Refer grievance to Step 4 after regional arb.	Denied	
5	H8C-4C-C 12764	18-Jan-83	MITTENTHAL	hearing but before briefs or award	Interim award	
	***************************************			INTERIM AWARD-Back pay 14-day time		
15	H1C-3A-C 5465	28-Apr-83	MITTENTHAL	limit	DENIED	
15	H8C-5F-C 15449	16-May-83	AARON	Restrictions of Sick Leave Privileges	Non Int.& Rem	*
				Payment of arbitrator fees when case at start	4	
15	H1M-NA-C 51	4-Oct-83	вгосн	of hearing is referred to Stp. 4	DENIED	1
75	DR-82-1	7-Sep-84	ZUMAS	Removal-misappropriation of postal property	SUSTAINED	IDR
				Arbitrator authority to grant interest on back-		
15	H1N-5F-D 2560	19-Dec-84	AARON	pay when sustaining a disc. grievance	SUSTAINED	,
15	H1N-NA-C 7	15-Feb-85	MITTENTHAL	Payment to union witnesses for time spent	DENIED	止
				Court reporter at arbitration hearing traveling to & from hearing, waiting to testify		١
45	H1C-NA-C 52	4-May-85	AARON		DENIED	* :
15	H1M-3D-C 42523	22-May-86	HARKLESS	Union request to tape record arbitration	SUSTAINED	
*	= Not in Folio		IDR = Identified as Regional	as Regional		

X = Not in FolioIDR = Identified as RegionalNTP = No Folio title pageWD = Wrong Folio dateITP = Incomplete FolioNL = Not on orig. indextitle3

NATIONAL ARBITRATION DECISION INDEX

15	H1N-4E-C 9678	21-Jul-86	BERNSTEIN	Former employee after removal being upheld grieved dis. retirement app. proc.	DENIED	*
15	H4C-4B-C 4758	5-May-87	COLLINS	Safety issue high pressure air hoses to remove dust	Not Arbitrable	* :
•			(v e v e v e v e v e v e v e v e v e v	Posted elevator operator positions giving		
1	H4M-4C-C 6032	15-May-87	ZUMAS	maintenance craft preference	DENED	
15	H4C-5A-C 13378	7-Apr-88	MITTENTHAL	Interest on delay of back pay	Non-Interp	
15	H7C-NA-C8	15-Dec-88	COLLINS	Unilateral changes in travel policy	DENIED	
				Progressive discipline-pilot discipline	(V-9,-20
15	H1M-NA-C 99	14-Feb-89	ZUMAS	program	DENIED	1
	H4N-4J-C 18504	16-Mar-89	BRITTON	NLRCA intervention in arbitration	ARBITRABLE	* ;
				Second removal issued after first was ruled		li Tur tur r
15	DR-31-88	20-Mar-89	ZUMAS	procedurally defective	SUSTAINED	z
1	H4C-3W-D 40195			Untimely petition to MSPB also have right to	C L	
5 :		26-May-89	SNOW	pursue grv. to arb. (stare decisis p. 12)	SELLLED	:
	F71100 (771			Alternate steward Vs regular steward on OT		
15	114C-3VV-C 20347	8-Jan-90	SNOW	on non-scheduled day (weingar en rts p 18	DENIED	
: i				Discharged employee's remaining		
15	H7N-5P-C 1132	26-Mar-90	MITTENTHAL	grievances after adj. of dischg.grievance	DENIED	!
15	H7N-3S-C 21873	13-Aug-90	BRITTON	Arbitrability of a "representative" grievance	SUSTAINED	*
15	H0C-NA-C 3	23-Dec-92	MITTENTHAL	Arbitrator be recused-changes in Art 30	DENIED	
15	H4C-3W-C 8590	31-Mar-93	SNOW	Advocates right to file post-hearing brief	DENIED	O S
15	H7T-3W-C 12454 ETAL	12-Apr-93	MITTENTHAL	Payment of travel away from home overnight	Sustained & Remanded	!
15	H0C-3W-C 4333	20-Jul-94	SNOW	Arbitrability-Hazardous Materials Trng Pgm.	Not Arbitrable	*
15	H0C-3D-D 8598	20-Oct-94	MONS	Miss-appropriating postal fundsremoval	ARBITRABLE	S
15	190V-41-C 94005141	28-Mar-98	SNOW	ELM 436 extend time period for filing grievance - 14 day retroactive liability	MODIFIED	* #
15	F90N-4F-D 95006174	4-Apr-98	SNOW	Denial of discovery request in emergency suspension hearing-res jud. & coll. est.pg11	ARBITRABLE	*
1 12	E94N04E-D 96075418	19-Apr-98	MONS	Right to grant continuance -unadjudictated elements	DENIED	*
* Not	= Not in Folio		IDR = Identified as Regional	as Regional		

IDR = identified as Regional WD = Wrong Folio date NL = Not on orig. index

> NTP = No Folio title page ITP = Incomplete Folio title

16	NB-N-4298-D	31-Jul-75	GAMSER	Removal - collection failures	DENIED	IDR
!	AB-W-11369-D et al	25-Aug-76	GAMSER	Preference eligible discharge - just cause	Sust. & Rem.	
16	NB-E 5724	23-Feb-77	FASSER	LW issued w/o gr. appeal rts. is proc. def.	DENIED	Z.
16	NC-E-6501-D	8-Dec-77	GARRETT	Discharge – just cause	MODIFIED	IDR
16	AC-N-14034	09-Feb-78	GAMSER	Discipline based upon approved leave	DENIED	z
				Just Cause of indefinite suspension is		
16	NC-NAT 8580	25-Sep-78	GARRETT	grievable & arbitrator can make whole	MODIFIED	Z
		· Control of the cont	Andrew and the second state of the second stat	Disciplinary action for excessive absences if		
16	NC-NAT 16285	19-Nov-79	GARRETT	leave is approved	MODIFIED	erform in
16	H1T-1E-C 6521	6-Jul-83	AARON	Denied steward during a discussion	DENIED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	H1M-NA-C 99	11-May-87	ZUMAS	Unilateral changes to discipline program	SUSTAINED	QX.
16	H1C-NA-C 97 et al	3-Feb-89	MITTENTHAL	Unilateral discipline program	DENIED	:
16	H4N-3U-C 58637 et al	3-Aug-90	MITTENTHAL	16.7/just cause	Remand to 3	*****
99	H4C-4Q-D 32814 et al	11-Mar-91	MITTENTHAL	(Similar to H1C-NA-C 97) Discipline program	Remand to arb	***********
			and the second of the second o	Waive access beyond Step 3 when MSPB		
16	H/U-3U-D 13422	25-Jul-91	SNOW	petition for review is filed.	ARBITRABLE	
				30-day on clock-NALC TEs pending		<u>Lui-uu-</u>
16	G90N-4G-D 93040395	18-Aug-94	MITTENTHAL	removal	SUSTAINED	, aire reith
·	U70 NA 0 416			Record of discussions in centralized system-		
16	0- > 2- > -	24-May-96	SNOW	ELM 314.5 (L-1,2,3 records p.27	DENIED	
:	DOOT 4D D 02000346			Enforced leave/discipline/Article 16.9 (past		4,
16		3-Jun-96	SNOW	practice lang. p. 22)	DENIED	,
16	D90N-4D-D 95003945/46	24-Apr-97	SNOW	MSPB Waiver	SUSTAINED	*
				Art. 16.9 covers all actions appealable to		Committee A
16	H7C-3R-C 5691	12-Nov-97.	DAS	MSPB by veteran pref. eligible employees	DENIED	* :
				Compensation for time spent at Step 2		and march
17	N-NAT-89	1-May-73	GAMSER	meetings	SUSTAINED	:
17	M-NAT-196	26-Jan-76	GARRETT	Failure to collect union dues	SUSTAINED	S .
1	MB-NAT-562 et al	19-Jan-77	GARRETT	LMOU restricts rights of stewards/release	SUSTAINED	
17	A8-E 0021 ETAL	10-Dec-79	MITTENTHAL	Steward time on the clock for appeals to 3	SUSTAINED	Ę
17	N8-NA 0219	10-Nov-80	AARON	Denial of steward's timelawn crossing	SUSTAINED	
17	H8N-1A-C 7812	18-Jan-82	MITTENTHAL	Steward travel time - Step 2	DENIED	3
17	H8N-3W-C 20711	16-Feb-82	MITTENTHAL	Access to supervisor's discussion notes	DENIED	MTP
* = Not	= Not in Folio		IDR = Identified as Regional	ss Regional		

				Steward compensation for time spent away		
	H8N-5K-C 14893	18-Jan-83	AARON	fron the job performing union work	REMANDED	
7	H1C-4B-C 1416	16-May-83	AARON	Charging union for compiling information	DENIED	
	H1C-4A-C 31745	8-Jul-85	ВГОСН	Interviews of Security Force Personnel	MODIFIED	
				Conversion & involuntary transfer of PTF		
17	H1C-3Q-C 29502	1-Oct-85	BLOCH	union steward	DENIED	
17	H1C-2D-C 11973	4-Oct-85	ZUMAS	204(b) access to superv. personnel records	SUSTAINED	
17	H4N-5C-C 17075	28-Nov-88	BRITTON	Transferring shop steward	SUSTAINED	*
				Alternate steward Vs regular steward on OT		
17	H4C-3W-C 28547	8-Jan-90	SNOW	on non-sched, day (weingarten rts p 18)	DENIED	S
				Simultaneous illness claim to cover job		
18	AB-N-4119 et al	22-Jan-76	GAMSER	action protesting conditions - AWOL	DENIED	z
18	No Strike	May-79	Panel of Arbitrators	NO STRIKE	DENIED	Ę
19	N-NAT- 2992	14-Dec-73	GAMSER	M-39 Handbook Vs. Article 34	MODIFIED	
19	AB-S-8185	28-Feb-79	FASSER	Money found in collection box by employee	DENIED	
				Employing fewer than 2 ledge-loaders on an		
	AC-NAT 20198	25-Jan-80	GAMSER	LSM with more than 6 consoles	SUSTAINED	, ,
6	N8-NA-0003	12-Mar-80	GAMSER	Out-of-sched, entitlement-light/limited duty	DENIED	*
9	AD-NAT 0121 et al	25-Jun-80	GAMSER	Job description and rate of pay for casuals	DENIED	
				Past practice changing days of work to		
19	N8-E-0088	3-Oct-80	GAMSER	conform to court leave	SUSTAINED	
19	A8-NA-540A	7-Jul-81	MITTENTHAL	Stand. Pos. of Review Clerk - PS-5 sal. lv.	SUSTAINED	
19	H8C-4A-C 11834	3-Sep-82	AARON	Reinstatement after separation disability	DENIED	*
19	H1T-3P-C 1220 et al	1-Dec-82	AARON	Maint. Mechanic PS-6 Vs. PS-7 work	MODIFIED	*
				Issuance of EL-501, Supervisor's Guide to		********
19	H8C-NA-C 61	27-Dec-82	GAMSER	attendance improvement	MODIFIED	
				Rate protection after bidding & qualifying on		
19	H1C-4J-C 4069	4-Jan-83	MITTENTHAL	lower grade position	DENIED	:
19	H1C-NA-C 5	12-May-83	ВГОСН	Failure to meet 60 day appeal to arbitration	DENIED	z
19	H1C-NA-C 32	30-Jul-83	AARON	Revision to ELM 568.32- Psychiatric Exam	SUSTAINED	
19	H1M-NA-C 13	31-Aug-83	AARON	Proper craft assignment of Mail Distributor	DENIED	
10	H1C-NA-C 12 et al	11-Oct-83	MITTENTHAL	Transmittal letter changes to handbooks	DENIED	d N
				Wearing "No contract - No work" buttons on		
19	H8C-3W-C 34408	21-Nov-83	MITTENTHAL	Postal Property - 1st Amend. rights p.4	DENIED	<u>a</u>

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

19	_	27-Feb-84	AARON	Changes in ELM Subchapter 540	SUSTAINED	*
19	,	19-Jul-84	ВГОСН	Bypassing employees on the EDIT test	MODIFIED	
19	-	24-Apr-85	AARON	New Mail Processor PS-3	SUSTAINED	
5	H1M-3W-C 29228	5-Sep-85	ВГОСН	Completing 3971 to be excused from OT	DENIED	
: 				FTR asked to report on NSD but prevented		
19	H1N-5F-C 30285	6-Aug-86	MITTENTHAL	by "act of God" entitled to Admin. Lv.	DENIED	:
				Definition changes in medical care provisions		
13	H1N-NA-C 121 et al	19-Sep-86	MITTENTHAL	in ELM 540 injury comp program	MODIFIED	
10	H1N-3U-C 35720 et al	10-Feb-87	MITTENTHAL	Combination of jury duty & postal duty	DENIED	¥
!				Qualification standard for Air Records		
19	H4C-NA-C 56	15-May-87	COLLINS	Processor position - Test O/N 710	DENIED	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	•			Revision of P-11 Handbook Sec. 261.34		·
19	H1C-NA-C 101	10-Jun-87	COLLINS	(handicap description)	DENIED	<u>d</u>
ì	H1C-NA-C 68	22-Oct-87	MITTENTHAL	Elimination of 1 of 4 LSM sweeper-tiers	DENED	
9	H4N-3D-C 9419	22-Dec-88	BRITTON	Carriers working during break time	SUSTAINED	
	H4C-NA-C 81	20-Jun-90	MITTENTHAL	Voter registration - postal premises - POM	DENIED	·
	H4C-NA-C 88	21-Jun-90	COLLINS	Revision of ELM 867.53	DENIED	•
! !		-	A de la capación de l	Changes to handbooks -notice to unions DM-		
19	H7C-NA-C 10	6-Aug-90	SNOW	201	MODIFIED	-
				Recovery of postal debts from non-barg. unit		
19	H4C-NA-C 34	12-Aug-92	MITTENTHAL	employees ELM 450 v 460	MODIFIED	MTP
				Employees returning to duty after 21 days or		:
19	H7N-1P-C 23321	5-Oct-92	MITTENTHAL	more to see physican - non occupational	MODIFIED	
19	H7C-NA-C 4 et al	13-Apr-94	MITTENTHAL	PS-4 Vs PS-5 Markup Clerk	MODIFIED	
10	H7N-3C-C 34861 et al	31-Aug-94	MITTENTHAL	Military leave	REMANDED	
13	Q90N-4F-C 94024977 /4038	16-Aug-96	SNOW	Violence in the Workplace	SUSTAINED	
20	NPPN-NAT 80-1	20-Jul-81	GAMSER	NursesDefinition of "Installation"	SUSTAINED	QM
		· ·		Mgmt prohibited union from posting a list of		
Z	N8-W-0214	14-Jul-81	GAMSER	non-members on union bulletin boards	SUSTAINED	reasy, a ,,
25	AB-W 1520	28-Oct-75	GAMSER	H/L 5 Clerk PDS Tech	DENED	IDR
25	AB-NAT 5821	21-May-76	GARRETT	H/L Bilingual	MODIFIED	*
25	AC-NAT 6743	25-May-77	GARRETT	Clerks performing ODIS duties	MODIFIED	*
25	AC-E-8432	3-Aug-77	GARRETT	Mail handlers assigned to fill Level 3 maint.	SUSTAINED	:
25	AD-N 1339	5-Nov-79	MITTENTHAL	Higher level pay - Level 5 Gen. Mechanic	DENIED	
4						

NTP = No Folio title page ITP = Incomplete Folio title * = Not in Folio

			****			***************************************				QM	:	-	* :	*	*		QM.	4		*						2	٤						•	
DENIED		DENIED		SUSTAINED	SUSTAINED		DENIED	DENIED		SUSTAINED	INTERP.		DENED	SUSTAINED	SUSTAINED		DENIED	SUSTAINED		MODIFIED	DENIED	SUSTAINED		SUSTAINED		DENIED	MODIFIED	DENIED	DENED		DENIED		DENIED	
Qualify for higher level pay while on A/L	Mgmt failed to upgrade Custodial Laborers	when assigned to oper. Tennant Sweeper	Temp. assigned duties of relief E-COM	Operator, L-7, clerk v carrier	Step increase after 24-week waiting period	Senior-qualified employee should be	selected to temp. vac. best qual, pos.	Assignment casual to higher level	Filling T-6 vacancy of at least 5 working days	within 7 days	Level 4 mail processors perf. level 5 tasks	Requiring window service employees to wear	standardized name badges -ELM 580	Effective date of the Debt Coll. Act MOU	Damage to USPS vehicles	OF-346's extended to barg. unit employee	holding position with no driving requirement	Susp.of driving privileges-cross craft assign.	Local Negot, in Respect to Application of day	to-day seniority in work assign.	Change in duty assignments	Relabeling - enforceable or not	Fixed non-workday for religious belief	(timeliness and on-going violations p. 4)	In conflict challange to LMOU can be made	regardless of no change made to NA	Non-choice/incidental leave clauses	LMOU inconsistent with Natl, Agreement	Arbitrator recused-changes made in Art 30	LMOU null & void negotiated outside the	30 day local implementation period	Mgmt's refusal of union's info request for	each members DOB, vet pref code etc.	
GAMSER		вгосн		BLOCH	BLOCH		ВГОСН	BLOCH	A THE REAL PRINCIPLE AND ADDRESS OF THE PRINC	SNOW	MITTENTHAL		AARON	MONS	VAUGHAN		MONS	MONS		GARRETT	AARON	MITTENTHAL		AARON		MITTENTHAL	MITTENTHAL	ZUMAS	MITTENTHAL		MITTENTHAL		BERNSTEIN	
27-Jul-81		13-May-83		10-Jan-85	24-May-85		28-May-85	19-Apr-88		10-Sep-90	20-Nov-95		27-Apr-83	9-May-97	2-Jun-98		14-Nov-97	8-Apr-98		28-Oct-74	20-Nov-79	21-Sep-81		25-Jan-84		31-Aug-84	29-Jan-86	3-Apr-87	23-Dec-92		2-Jun-95		3-Aug-88	
H8C-5F-C 4333		H8T-3D-C 27355		H1C-3W-C 24039	H1C-5F-C 21356		H8C-2D-C 3076	H4T-3T-C 20524	The second secon	H7N-5R-C 316 et al	H7C-4S-C 3749		H1C-NA-C6	J90N-4J-C 95023345	E95R-4E-C 96093123		H7C-1K-C 31669 et al	194N-4I-D 96027608		Impassed	A8-N-0036	N8-W-0406/H8N-5L-C 10418		NC-E-11359		H1C-NA-C 25	H1C-NA-C 59 ETAL	H4M-NA-C 36	HOC-NA-C 3	A COLUMN TO A COLU	H7N-1F-C 39072 et al		H4N-NA-C 17	1
25		25			25	•	25	25	:	25	25			28	:		53	23		30	30	30		30		30	30	30	30		30		31	*

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

				NALC direct access at national level to		
31	H7N-NA-C 34	8-Nov-89	MITTENTHAL	personal info. on carriers	SUSTAINED	
i			er er en verstaden kommenter framsker framsker framsker framsker framsker framsker framsker framsker framsker	Release of NPMHO & USPS EI/QWL		}
31	H4T-2A-C 36687	16-Nov-90	MITTENTHAL	meeting minutes (relevant info p. 6-10)	SUSTAINED	:
31	H7N-NA-C 34	12-Feb-92		Decision vacated by U.S. District Court	REVERSED	*
				Release of supervisory files regarding		
31	H7N-5C-C 12397	9-Mar-92	MONS	possible discipline	SUSTAINED	랊
		The state of the s	and to the confidence of a many statement and a many to the confidence of the confid	Banks as temp contract stations & adv. union		
32	AB-NAT-6291	24-Nov-77	GAMSER	notification	MODIFIED	
32	A8-NA 0481	2-Apr-81	MITTENTHAL	Highway movement of mail	DENIED	
32	H8C-NA-C 25	9-Nov-81	MITTENTHAL	Highway movement of mail	DENIED	d N
32	H4T-3W-C 9682	30-Sep-86	COLLINS	Contracting for custodial cleaning services	SUSTAINED	
32	H4C-NA-C 39	20-Oct-87	ВГОСН	National pgrm stamp sales by consignment	DENIED	- HO
32	H4V-NA-C 84 et al	24-Jul-92	SNOW	Highway transportation contracts	DENIED	
				Employee promotion to Claims & Voucher		:
33	SB-059 et al	2-Dec-76	GAMSER	Examining Tech, PMS-11	SUSTAINED	d I
8	H1C-NA-C 70	1-Jul-86	вгосн	4-digit keying at 55 letters per minute allow	MODIFIED	
37	AB-NAT-10221	22-Dec-76	FASSER	Temporary detail to PS5 clerk as best qual.	DENIED	-
			:	Regional directive without prior notice to		
37	AC-NAT-3052	25-Apr-77	GARRETT	APWU - elimination Air Taxi routes	MODIFIED	:
37	AC-NAT-3601	26-Jul-77	FASSER	Cumulative change of 1-hour in start time	DENIED	
!			,	Art 37.2.E.4.aExact time when senior		
37	AC-E-26111	29-Oct-79	GAMSER	qualified bidder assigned to LSM	DENED	1
				Change of Methods Handbook M-75 to		
37	H8C-4H-C 11656	14-Sep-81	ВГОСН	require right hand distribution	DENIED	*
37	H1C-3W-C 10155	27-Jul-83	MITTENTHAL	Seniority-Right to bid while pending removal	SUSTAINED	1
37	H1C-5G-C 3370	18-Oct-83	MITTENTHAL	Reverting or posting a vacant clerk craft position	DENIED	
37	H1C-3A-C 12412	.15-Nov-83	ВГОСН	Mgmt failed to perm. assign window clerk within 21 days	DENIED	

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

			*				ş			:						*	*	* .			z					-	Z			Z	
DENIED	SUSTAINED		DENIED	DENIED	1	DENIED	DENIED	DENIED	טבאיזט	CEINIED	DENIED	DENIED	DENED	DENIED	SUSTAINED	DENED	DENED	DENIED		SUSTAINED	DENED	ב ב ב	DENIED	DENIED	DENIED	REMANDED	DENIED	SETTLED	()) (DENIED	DENIED
Employee submitted bid while Form 1723 showed as needed relief supv.	FTR transferred and later bid back to FTR &needed training - 180 v 365 lock-in	Seniority "tie breaker" for senior E-COM	systems operator positions	EDIT - means of imposing discipline	Mgmt refused to award MPFSM to senior	bidder who falled dexterity training	Short crew - LSM	Proper expiration date of coll. barg. agmt	Reposting assignments apply within a single	contract	LSM "approx. 2 hr" language re OT breaks	Clerks on 204b detail elig. to bid	Submitted bid during removal	Elimination of 1 of 4 LSM sweeper-tiers	Denial of bid due to inability to work OT	Qualification standards prof. regirements	Upgrading ET's to Level 10	Upgrading ET's to Level 10	Maintenance Handbook Series MS-47 &	issuing new forms and bulletins	Upgrading 2 ET's to Level 10	Heavy lifting & government driver's license	regired for Maint. Control/Stock Cik.	BMC supervisor training	Training by seniority	Relief assignment-Article 38.2.F	Additional job specs on Notice of Intent	Training courses in qualification standards	Posting cleaning route numbers on vacant	custodial bid assignment	Removal of names of employees from PER
ВГОСН	MITTENTHAL		AARON	BLOCH		ZUMAS	ZUMAS	ВГОСН		KERR	COLLINS	KERR	ВГОСН	MITTENTHAL	SNOW	MITTENTHAL	AARON	AARON		GAMSER	GAMSER		AARON	GAMSER	ВГОСН	BLOCH	BLOCH	BLOCH		BLOCH	BLOCH
28-Dec-83	. 6lan-84		16-Jan-84	23-Apr-85		4-Oct-85	10-Dec-85	19-Feb-86		7-Mar-86	5-Jun-86	2-Dec-86	12-Jun-87	22-Oct-87	29-Apr-91	2-Jan-96	16-Jan-80	28-Jul-80		1-Jun-81	23-Jun-82		7-Jul-82	19-Jan-83	6-Apr-83	20-Sep-83	23-Sep-83	27-Sep-83		7-Nov-83	8-Jun-84
H1C-3A-C 15070	H1C_30/1C_11251	100107000000000000000000000000000000000	H1C-3U-C 15274	H1C-4B-C 1929	in the second se	H1C-3D-C 21354	H1C-3W-C 30480	H4C-4C-C 3141	H4C-3F-C 7109		H4C-1K-C 3869	H4C-5F-C 3380	H4C-1N-C 58	H1C-NA-C 68	H1C-5K-C 24191	H4C-NA-C 91	AC-W 21675	A8-NA-0332		A8-NA 0375	H8T-5G-C 8889	ers. I de mais est diffe de la papa de managementa de managementa de managementa de managementa de managementa	H8T-5C-C 11160	H1T-3A-C 7223	H1T-3A-C 5761	H8T-2M-C 7387	H8T-5D-C 15971	H1T-4F-C 6029		H8T-3A-C 17774 & 22423	H1T-5H-C 11097
37		5	37	Ī	-	37	i	37		37		37	1	ì	37		38	38		38	38		38	38	38	38	38	38		38	30

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio
title

38 H4C-NA-C 32 38 H4T-4K-C 9434 et al 38 H1T-2V-C 17607 38 H7T-3W-C 12454 et al 38 H0T-3T-C 14424 38 H0C-4J-C 94005141 39 H8C-4J-C 20823 40 H7S-3A-C 24946 et al 41 NB-NAT-323 41 NB-NAT-323 41 NB-NAT-323 41 NB-NAT-4358 41 NB-NAT-4358 41 NG-C-178 41 NC-C-178 41 NC-C-178 41 NC-C-178		31-Ccl-82	HCCH HCCH	Pref. assignment register filled by seniority	COSTAINED	
				Qualification Standard for Maint Custodian &		
		6-May-88	MITTENTHAL	Pos. description for Bldg. Maint. Cust.	DENED	
				Changing existing duty assignment into a		
	etal	16-May-88	MITTENTHAL	relief assignment	DENIED	*
				Area Maint. Technician applicants ranked on		
		23-Aug-89	COLLINS	the PER by application score	DENIED	•
	54 et al	12-Apr-93	MITTENTHAL	Pmt. of travel away from home overnight	SUSTAINED	
				Excluding MPEs and ETs from eligibility for		
	4	16-Mar-95	MITTENTHAL	early retirement in 1992	DENIED	
	5141	28-Mar-98	SNOW	Travel Time	MODIFIED	* !
				Mgmt. declined to award VOMA position to	-	
	<u> </u>	14-May-84	BLOCH	cferk convicted on DWI charge	DENIED	
				Transportation of bulk quantities of Express		
	32199	24-Jul-96	SNOW	Mail by other than MVS employees	DENIED	#
				SDMs exclusive jurisdiction over delivery of		•
	16 et al	29-Sep-94	MITTENTHAL	"expedited mail"	DENIED	:
	, 136	22-Dec-74	GAMSER	Floater carrier schedule change	MODIFIED	Z
		30-Dec-74	GAMSER	sub. VOMA's right to VOMA schedule	MODIFIED	z
		4-Jun-75	GARRETT	Unilateral altering letter carrier case	MODIFIED	뉟
		30-Jul-75	GARRETT	Reading time dispute	MODIFIED	
		9-Sep-75	GARRETT	Route Inspection	MODIFIED	뉟
		8-Jul-76	GARRETT	Letter Carrier Route Eval. System (LCRES)	MODIFIED	
		3-Nov-76	GAMSER	Office time/low-mail volume time allowance	SUSTAINED	
	The same of the sa	23-Dec-76	FASSER	Lawn crossing	DENED	
		3-Feb-77	FASSER	Failure to complete 1840	SUSTAINED	
	AL.	30-Jan-78	GARRETT	Removing the w/drawal of mail duties-M-39	DENIED	
_		3-May-78	GARRETT	Lawn crossing:	MODIFIED	
41 NB-N-3908 ETAI	AL	29-Sep-78	GARRETT	Third bundle on park and loop routes	DENIED	ER ER
				Seniority in reassignments of less than a full		
41 AC-N-19218		23-Feb-79	GARRETT	tour	SUSTAINED	
41 NC-C-11675	A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF T	1-Aug-79	AARON	Special count and inspection of route	SUSTAINED	
1	ETAL	20-Aug-79	GARRETT	Lawn crossing	MODIFIED.	*
41 ND-NAT 0001		27-Aug-79	GARRETT	Base min. time altowance & Form 1838	DENIED	

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio

4	NC-NAT-8126	11-Oct-79	FASSER	Reasonable breaks & properly documented representative time in adjusting routes	DENIED	in all and a second
41	R8-S-0001	15-Jan-80	HARKLESS	Changed rural delivery to city delivery	SUSTAINED	
	The state of the s			Withdrawal of mail from tray - Form 1838 line	C	<u>·</u>
41	N8-W-0039	27-Jun-80	AARON	15 credit	SUSTAINED	O.M.
4	N8-NA-0220	24-Feb-81	MITTENTHAL	Route evaluation-mail volume adjustment-	SUSIAINED	
				Accumulating seniority in letter carrier craft		
41	N8-NA-0383	23-Apr-81		while on 204b detail	DENIED	
4-1	H8N-4B-C 16721 et al	8-Mar-82	MITTENT	Carrier seniority in filling day-to-day asmts.	DENIED	
41	H8N-4E-C 19254 et al	11-Mar-82	AARO:1	Foot carriers carrying parcels over 2 lbs.	DENIED	
41	H8N-4B-C 16500	28-Jun-82	GAMSER	Reversion of vacant T-6 carrier tech. pos.	DENIED	
41	H1N-3Q-C 1288	16-Mar-83	AARON	Supervisors completing PS Form 313	SUSTAINED	de :
•				Opting a hold-down assignment for duration	:	
4	H1N-3U-C 13930	2-Nov-84	MITTENTHAL	including unassigned regular	SUSTAINED	
41	H1N-4J-C 8187	19-Mar-85	AARO:	Bidding VOMA vacancies by 204(b)	DENIED	
41	H1T-4H-C 28439	19-Aug-85	MITTENTHAL	Safety of FSMs	DENIED	
				PTF city carrier on a hold-down accepts a		
41	H4N-1W-C 34928	21-Jul-89	BRITTON	204b detail - repost for opt	SUSTAINED	:
41	H7N-NA-C 68	12-Aug-91	BRITTON	Special route insp. during June thru Aug.	SUSTAINED	
4	H7N-1A-C 25966	12-Aug-92	SNOW	Bus driver duties arbitrability	ARBITRABLE	
41	H7N-1T-C 39547	15-Dec-92	MITTENTHAL	Restructuring city carrier routes/Hempstead	SUSTAINED	NTP
41	H7N-NA-C 42	1-Aug-94	ZUMAS/MITTENTHAL	Conversion city to rural	DENIED	
41	INTEREST ARBITRATION	26-Oct-94	MITTENTHAL	T-6 Interest Arbitration	SUSTAINED	
41	Q90N-4Q-C 93034611	8-Feb-96	MITTENTHAL	Temporary detail to PS5 clerk as best qual.	DENIED	
41	B90N4B-C 92021294	22-Mar-96	MONS	Paragraph 41.3.0	SUSTAINED	4
41	F90C-4F-C 95003381/6919	18-Apr-97	SNOW	Filling vacancies by transfers	SUSTAINED	且
				Three bundle - working marriage mail behind	CHAINTO	·
41	Q90N-4Q-C 93034541	6-Jun-97	SNOW	adressed flats	SUSTAINED	
÷	O00N 40 C 04000376	4-0:10-07	MONS	Methodology for determining when target % is reached in DPS work environment	REMANDED	*
41	MAN-5H-C 40995	23-Dec-98	NOLAN	RURAL/CITY JURISDICTION	SUSTAIN	*
				Employee suspended indefinitely for a crime,		
69	H4R-2W-D 2177 et al	2-Feb-88	ZUMAS	back-pay upon reinstatement	REMAND	
*	- U - U - U -					

* = Not in Folio

NTP = No Folio title page

TTP = Incomplete Folio

title

z	z Z		z	z	z	ď	Z Z	Z	N		,								***************************************		ź	z									NL		
DENIED	SUSTAINED		SUSTAINED	DENIED	SUSTAINED	DENIED	DENIED	DENIED	DENIED	SUSTAINED		SUSTAINED		DENIED	DENED	MODIFIED	DENIED	SUSTAINED	MODIFIED		DENED	DENIED		DENIED	DENIED	SUSTAINED	DENIED		DENIED	SUSTAINED	DENIED	DENIED.	DENIED
Definition of installation for nurses	Recovery of overpayment	Failure to submit ELM changes to union for	review & failure to pay higher level on 204b	Working out of schedule	Requirement to report arrests to supervisor	Closing 2 security posts at Chicago BMC	Steward compensation for travel time	MOU on issuing badges, patches, holsters	Mgmt, Declaring uniform item obsolete	Change of deliveries from city to rural	Sub. rural carriers and non-competitive dual	appt. as clerk or carrier	Substitute appointment and vacant carrier	assignment	Assigning city delivery rather than rural	Case Boxholder mail	Equipment maintenance allowance rates	Change from rural to city delivery	Replace rural cases with city cases &	Mark-up credit for parcels to large to fit in	customers mailbox	Posting vacancy prior to retirement	Appointment of managerial/supervisory	personnel to vacant rural routes	Change from rural to city delivery	Rural carrier appointment	Change from rural to city delivery	Process a change of address order for	person who never erected mail box	Conversion of rural route to city delivery	Compensation for RCR's	Conversion of vacant highway route to rural	Transfers from other crafts to entry sal level
GAMSER	COLLINS		COLLINS	GAMSER	ВГОСН	ВГОСН	ВГОСН	ВГОСН	ВГОСН	GARRETT		FASSER		GARRETT	GARRETT	GARRETT	GAMSER	FASSER	HARKLESS		HARKLESS	HARKLESS		HARKLESS	HARKLESS	HARKLESS	HARKLESS		HARKLESS	HARKLESS	HARKLESS	ZUMAS	ZUMAS
2-Jun-81	14-Aug-84		5-Feb-85	23-Sep-80	10-Jun-82	27-Sep-82	14-Dec-82	10-Jan-83	21-Jan-85	30-Aug-74	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	31-May-77		18-Jul-77	23-Jan-78	9-Jun-78	15-Jul-78	01-Nov-78	05-Jul-79		29-Sep-79	11-Jul-80		08-Sep-80	26-Jan-81	25-Feb-81	28-Nov-81		14-Apr-82	06-Jul-82	20-Jun-83	31-Oct-85	15-Nov-89
NAT-80-1	PDC-N-2	And the second s	PDC-N-4	CE-78-048-C(15)	CE-82021	CE-81-015-13	OE-18-016-13	FPSP-NAT-81-007	FPPO-84-NAT-001	N-C-4120	The both responsible to the same of the sa	AC-NAT-1561		RB-C-181	RB-S-213	RC-E 150	RC-NAT-0332	RC-W-92	RC-C-0345 et al		RC-N-0376	RD-NA-0001		R8-NA-0046	R8-NA-0072	R8-C-0051	H8R-4B-C 12439		H8R-3T-C 22258	H8R-5L-C 17328 et al	H1R-NA-C 18	H1R-4H-C 26640	H4R-4U-C 21990 et al
2	()	1	PDC	d.	dd	dd	1	dd	D.	œ		œ	-	œ	œ		œ	: .	2		œ			œ	æ	æ	œ		ĸ	œ	œ	R	8

* = Not in Folio
NTP = No Folio title page
ITP = Incomplete Folio
title

1. Question: When reducing employees in an installation, would local management apply Article 12 provisions until such time as a preference eligible would be affected by placement in a lower level position?

Answer: A determination must be made, based on the results of examining various scenarios, to utilize either Article 12 or Article 6. Prior to implementing Article 6, authorization must be received from Headquarters through the Area Manager, Human Resources, or designee. [Ref. 6 (3).]

2. Question: When providing the ninety-day advance notification to the affected union(s) at their regional level, is management required to provide <u>documentation</u> supporting its position regarding: legitimate business reasons for the action; the maximum number of affected employees; and the reduction in casuals, part-time flexible workhours and overtime hours?

Answer: There is no requirement in the language of Article 6 relating to notification to the unions that would require such documentation to be included with the notice. A sample notification letter has been prepared for Area office use. However, supporting documentation should be furnished. [Ref. 6.B.1]

3. Question: When providing the affected employees the required sixty-day advance notification, what "rights" must they be advised of or are they advised of their rights when and if laid-off or subjected to a reduction in force?

Answer: Article 6 requires that the Postal Service provide the affected employees with a sixty-day notice that they may be affected by layoff or reduction in force (RIF). A sample notification letter has been prepared for local office use. The affected employees would be advised of their appeal rights when and if the specific actions affecting them take place.

The RIF procedures require that the employees within the competitive area where a RIF may take place be given at least a ninety-day general notice that they may be affected by a RIF. Further, employees who are affected by a RIF must be given at least a sixty-day notice of the specific RIF action and be advised of their appeal rights. The draft notices will be provided by Headquarters through the Area Manager, Human Resources. [Ref. 6.B.2.]

4. Question: When notifying employees that they may be subject to the provisions of Article 6, must management notify non-protected preference eligibles who have three (3) or more years of service and who are within the impacted number in the seniority unit?

Answer: Yes. They are subject to the preconditions which must be met prior to lay-off or reduction in force and would receive written notice. [Ref. 6.B.2]

- 5. Question: Is management required to separate all casuals in the affected craft?

 Answer: While there is no absolute requirement to separate all casuals in the affected craft, management must separate them to the "fullest extent possible." [Ref. 6.B.4.]
- 6. Question: Is management required to reduce part-time flexible workhours regardless of salary level in the affected craft?

Answer: No. Management is required to minimize part-time flexible workhours used in positions within the affected seniority unit; i.e., positions in the salary level and craft in the specific installation. Note that, unlike reduction of casuals, this requirement applies to positions within the seniority unit rather than the entire graft; for most grafts, this is a limiting condition. [Ref. 6.B.4.]

7. Question: Is management required to reduce the amount of overtime worked in positions within the seniority unit?

Answer: Yes. As with minimization of part-time flexible workhours, management is required to minimize the amount of overtime worked in positions within the affected seniority unit. [Ref. 6.B.4]

8. Question: Can we offer retirement as opposed to instituting a lay-off or reduction in force?

Answer: Employees in the affected craft must be offered the opportunity to voluntarily terminate their employment as a precondition to implementation of Article 6. Those employees not eligible for retirement would receive severance pay as outlined in Part 435 of the ELM.

For early retirement, however, prior OPM approval is required before it can be offered. [Ref. 6.B.4.]

9. Question: Under Article 6.B.4, is management required to solicit volunteers to terminate their employment even if there are a sufficient number of available duty assignments in other seniority units to place all of the affected employees?

Answer: Volunteers are limited to a number equivalent to the number of affected employees for whom vacancies do not exist. [Ref. 6.B.4]

- 10. Question: Is management required to reduce transitional employee workhours? Answer: No. All that the Memorandum of Understanding with the APWU and the arbitration award with the NALC require is that employees subject to lay-off be offered the opportunity to work any transitional assignments within the same category and installation. Such employees must be currently qualified for the transitional assignments. [Ref. MOU, Arbitration Award.]]
- 11. Question: When making assignments as a result of a preconditional posting, does the term "qualified" imply "currently qualified" or "minimally qualified?" If the answer is "minimally qualified," are such employees entitled to enter a deferment period as defined in Article 37, Sections 3.F.3.a., 3.F.4.a., and 3.F.7 or to demonstrate a skill(s) as defined in Article 37, Section 3.F.5?

Answer: In order to be assigned to preconditional vacancies, employees must only be minimally qualified for the assignment. If minimally qualified, they would be entitled to enter a deferment period or to demonstrate a skill in keeping with the cited provisions of Article 37. [Ref. 6.B.5.]

12. Question: Does the fact that employees met different entrance qualifications within a given salary level, such as Level 4 Mail Processors and Level 4 CFS Clerks with their different qualification standards, affect assignment of those employees under the preconditional posting?

Answer: Assignment under the preconditional posting is initially based on the employees meeting the minimum qualifications, which include the appropriate entrance examination. For clerk craft employees, see also the Memorandum of Understanding re. "Interlevel Bidding -- Entrance Examination Requirements." [Ref. 6.B.5.]

13. Question: If a non-protected preference eligible employee does not request assignment to the sole vacancy in the same level at the time of the preconditional posting, while a junior non-protected non-preference eligible makes such request, who is assigned to the vacancy? What would be the result if the non-protected non-preference eligible were the senior of the two (2) employees?

Answer: As there was only one vacancy in the same level, the non-protected preference eligible would be assigned, even though s/he did not request the vacancy, regardless of relative seniority standing. [Ref. 6.B.5.]

14. Question: If two (2) vacancies in the same level were available in the preconditional posting, would they be assigned based on seniority if the non-protected preference eligible, who is senior, failed to request assignment while the junior non-protected non-preference eligible made a request?

Answer: In this example, as two (2) same level vacancies were available, the junior non-protected non-preference eligible would be assigned to the vacancy of his/her choice and the senior non-protected preference eligible would be assigned to the remaining vacancy. This answer assumes both employees were minimally qualified. [Ref. 6.B.5.]

15. Question: Are affected non-protected preference oligibles in the affected seniority unit entitled to request reassignment to available lower level duty assignments posted during the preconditional posting?

Answer: Yes. [Ref. 6.B.5]

16. Question: Are duty assignments in the same seniority unit as the affected employees which are vacant at the time that the Article 6 preconditions are implemented included in the twenty-day posting?

Answer: No. Unassigned protected employees would already have been assigned to such vacancies. [Ref. 6.B.5]

17. Question: Can non-protected, non-preference eligible employees within the seniority unit who will not be impacted based on the number of employees involved request assignment to a duty assignment which is offered during the twenty-day preconditional posting?

Answer: Yes. [Ref. 6.B.5.]

18. Question: Can management utilize the duty assignments encumbered by affected employees to place the remaining unassigned employees in the seniority unit whose positions have been abolished?

Answer: Protected employees who are unassigned due to their duty assignments being abolished and non-protected employees who are unassigned due to their duty assignments being

abolished and who will not be impacted can be assigned to duty assignments encumbered by affected employees in the seniority unit. [Ref. 6.B.5.]

19. Question: How are seniority units constructed?

Answer: A seniority unit is composed of all non-protected preference eligible and non-protected non-preference eligible employees in the same craft, same category and same salary level within each installation, as installations were defined under the 1990 Collective Bargaining Agreements. The parties may mutually agree to define seniority units on terms other than those outlined herein. [Ref. 6.C.3.]

20. Question: What are seniority units utilized for?

Answer: Seniority units are defined for the purposes of identifying employees exposed to lay-off and/or reduction in force, identifying positions in which overtime and part-time flexible hours must be minimized and identifying vacancies which employees may apply for in the preconditional posting. [Ref. 6.B.3., B.4., and B.5.]

21. Question: Are employees with saved grade in lower level positions treated as though they are in the level they are working or as though they are in the saved grade level?

Answert Employees with saved grade working in lower level positions are considered to be in the level in which they are working, not in the level of their saved grade. Where such employees are working with other employees occupying positions in that same level, neither group has preference over the other simply by virtue of one group being in saved grade status. [Ref. 6.C.3.]

22. Question: How are multi-craft duty assignments placed into seniority units?

Answer: Multi-craft duty assignments are placed into seniority units based on the identity of the incumbent. For example, if a letter carrier held a VOMA assignment, that assignment would be in the seniority unit composed of Level 5, full-time regular, letter carrier craft employees at the particular installation. [Ref. 6.C.3.]

23. Question: How are competitive areas for reduction in force purposes defined? For example, custodial assignments are on the plant organization structure, but the custodians holding the assignments physically work in a station - is the competitive level the plant or the station at which they work?

Answer: Competitive areas were listed in Postal Bulletin 21887, dated February 16, 1995. In order to determine which competitive area a specific job assignment falls into, PB 21887 must be referred to. [Ref. 6.C.5.]

- 24. Question: Have competitive levels been identified for bargaining unit employees?

 Answer: Yes.
- 25. Question: When a non-protected, preference eligible career conditional employee is released from his/her competitive level, is s/he entitled to bump a non-protected, non-preference eligible from a duty assignment obtained during the preconditional posting required by B.5?

Answer: Depending upon the employee's RIF retention standing, a non-protected, career conditional preference eligible who is released from his or her competitive level could displace either a non-protected, career conditional non-preference elibible or even a non-protected, career conditional preference eligible. [Ref. 6.C.5]

26. Question: Can a preference eligible Level 6 Distribution Clerk - Machine MPLSM who has never been a manual clerk bump a non-preference eligible manual clerk during a reduction in force?

Answer: During a reduction in force, a preference eligible can "bump" an employee in a lower tenure group or a lower subgroup within the same tenure group within his or her competitive area who is holding a position for which the preference eligible is minimally qualified and which is up to three grade levels below the grade level of the preference eligible's current position.

Also, a preference eligible can "retreat" to a position which is the same position, or is an essentially identical one, to that which had been previously held by the employee. The position must be held currently by another employee with a lower retention standing in the