

American Postal Workers Union, ANT-OIO

817 14** STREET, N. W., WASHINGTON, D. C. 2008

12137

A18

April 9, 1976

Mr. Dennis Weitzel
Director
Office of Contract Analysis
Labor Relations Department
U. S. Postal Service
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Weitzel:

I have been studying your letter of March 8, 1976 relative to our areas of disagreement regarding the application of Appendix A, Section II. On this occasion I am a loss to understand your interpretation of Appendix A, Section C 8, which concerns the reassignment of parttime flexible employees.

I concur with your conclusion that there is nothing in the language of C 8 which precludes the involuntary reassignment of part-time flexible employees. The language of C 8, in my opinion, deals only with the options available to a part-time flexible employee after management has declared him excess. Since employees do not declare themselves excess, it seems to me that any action taken by management in declaring employees excess makes the action involuntary. The selection of an employee of an office or craft in which to seek refuge has nothing to do with the excessing action. It further appears to me that you are confusing the issue with the request of an employee to be transferred to another craft or another office.

Once a part-time flexible is declared excess, C 8 provides some options which he may exercise if positions are declared available by management. It seems to me that there would be no purpose in C 8 whatsoever if you eliminate the protections outlined in the several items regarding seniority and retreat rights.

I will be available to discuss this matter with you, should you desire, but I do ask that you reconsider your interpretation as a result of this letter.

Sincerely yours,