

United States Postal Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, DC 20260

Mr. Thomas A. Neill
Director, Industrial Relations
American Postal Workers
Union, AFL-CIO
1300 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-4128

Re: See Attached List

Dear Mr. Neill:

Recently, you met with David Stanton in a prearbitration discussion of the above-referenced cases.

The issue in these cases is whether the performance of lobby sweeps by management is a violation of the National Agreement.

After reviewing this matter, the parties mutually agreed that the interpretive aspects of these grievances were addressed by National Arbitrator Snow in his award in case AC-N-6922. Accordingly, we agreed to remand these cases for application of the principles set forth in that award.

Please sign and return the enclosed copy of this letter as your acknowledgment of agreement to remand these cases, and to remove representative case H1C-3P-C 46036 from the pending national arbitration listing.

Sincerely,

Anthony J. Vegliant

Manager

Grievance and Arbitration

Labor Relations

Thomas A. Neill

rnomas A. Neill

Director, Industrial Relations

American Postal Workers

Union, AFL-CIO

Date: 6-23-93

ATTACHED LIST

H1C-3P-C 46036 ✓ M JOHNSON SPARTANBURG, SC

H4C-5K-C 15398 CLASS ACTION BARSTOW, CA

H4C-3A-C 26838 T SMITH ODESSA, TX

H4C-3W-C 43761 CLASS ACTION SANFORD, FL

H4C-4G-C 3360 ✓ R HENDRY COLUMBUS, IN

HOC-3W-C 4553
CLASS ACTION
NEW PORT RICHEY, FL

H1C-3D-C 31833 CLASS ACTION GRIFFIN, GA

HOC-3N-C 13002 CLASS ACTION OCEANS SPRINGS, MS H1C-5K-C 28116 LOCAL LAS VEGAS, NV

H4C-4H-C 34386 CLASS ACTION COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

H4C-3D-C 13318 / H JOWERS WARNER ROBINS, GA

H4C-4B-C 11824 CLASS ACTION MUSKEGON, MI

H7C-4H-C 951 CLASS ACTION COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

HOC-5R-C 2876 LOCAL BELLINGHAM, WA

> HIC-3D-C 39824 S ADAMS WARNER ROBINS, GA

H4C-3Q-C 30220 CLASS ACTION
BATON ROUGE, LA



UNITED STATES MODIAL SERVICE MOOM 1014 475 LEHFAHT PLAZA SH WASHINGTON OC 20150 FLOO १६६ व्यक्त तस्त्र अगर FAIT (202) 258 2014

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT POSTMASTER GENERAL LABOR RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

H1C-3P-C 46036 class Action Spartanburg, SC

440-48-0 11304 class Action Muskegon, MI

H4C-4G-C 3360 R. Hendry Columbus, IN

44C-3A-C 26838 T. Smith Odessa, TX

H4C-4H-C 34386 Class Action Colocado Sorings

H4C-3W-C 43761 Class Action 327 Sanford, FL

H7C-4H-C 951 Class Action

H4C-3D-C 13318 H. Jowers

Mr. Thomas Thompson Assistant Director Clerk Craft Division 49 American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO 1300 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-4128 ARTICLE

Re: See Attached List

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Recently, Ms. Joyce Ong and I met with your representative, Mr. Jon Numair, to discuss the referenced grievances at the fourth step of our contractual grievance procedure.

The issue in these grievances is whether the performance of *lobby sweeps" by management is a violation of the National Agreement.

The union has recently conceded in separate Step 4 grievance decisions that management may perform lobby sweeps. It is now attempting to change its position. The union's current Colorado Springs position is that "lobby sweeps" do not include management obtaining accountable mail and parcels for customers. This position, which was raised for the first time in the grievance process at our Step 4 meeting, is apparently based Warner Robbins, (on the union's interpretation of the recent Snow Award AC-N-6922. The union's current position is that any work that is associated with "moving the mail" (or involved in *advancing the mail forward*) is bargaining unit work and may not be performed by supervisors or other non-bargaining managerial employees.

> It is the position of the Service that the notion of what constitutes a lobby sweep includes such functions as getting parcels and accountable mail for postal customers but is not limited to these two activities. In the broadest sense we are all involved in the movement of the mail, so the union's position does not truly differentiate bargaining unit work from that accomplished by any other postal employee. We do not agree that it is supported in any way by the Snow Award. The Union's current position is also contrary to our previous mutual understandings at the national level and past practice regarding lobby sweeps.



During peak periods of customer activity, management performs lobby sweeps to ensure good service and customer satisfaction. The grievance files reflect that the actual tasks performed by the managers involve minimal amounts of work and have been performed by management for years. There was no evidence presented by the union to show that lobby sweeps are exclusively bargaining unit work. Further, even if chis was pargaining unit work, it can be done by Postal Service supervisors under certain circumstances. In sum, the union has presented no evidence which shows that management has violated the National Agreement.

Based on these considerations these grievances are denied.

Time limits were extended by mutual consent.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Sheehan

Jriavance 4 Arbitration

Division

Date 7-15-91